Efficacy and safety of Specific Conjugate Particle (SCP)-Doxorubicin in Patients with Recurrent High-Grade Gliomas, a Randomized Clinical Study

Keywords: Glioma; Glioblastoma; SCP-Doxorubicin; PEG-Doxorubicin; Phase III trial; Conjugate particle; Adverse events; Efficacy

Abstract

Objective: Doxorubicin has proven to be partly efficacious in treating glioblastoma multiforme. However, the conventional formulation of doxorubicin has not been used clinically, due to poor penetration of the blood-brain barrier. To overcome these obstacles, authors compared the use of Specific Conjugate particle doxorubicin (Group 1), a proven efficacious agent with advanced technical drug, and pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin (Group 2) in a randomized prospective Phase III trial involving patients with recurrent high-grade glioma.

Methods: We recruited eighty (80) patients with WHO grade III-IV high-grade glioma, according to 2 independent pathology reports, tumor recurrence identified on gadolinium-enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). The participants were between the ages of 18 and 70 years, with a life expectancy of more than two months. Patients were randomized to receive either Specific Conjugate Particle Doxorubicin (SCP-Doxorubicin) or the conventionally accepted PEGylated Liposomal Doxorubicin (PEG-Doxorubicin). Study subjects received 20 mg/m² of either PEG-Doxorubicin or SCP-Doxorubicin by an intravenous infusion over 30 minutes per day, with a range of 1-28 courses administered per patient.

Results: In the patients evaluated, overall response rate was 40% is it for both groups, what is the percentage for each group. Two patients achieved Complete Responses (CRs) and two Partial Responses (PRs) in the SCP-Doxorubicin arm. We noted Stable Disease (SD) lasting greater than eight weeks in 28 patients. Patients receiving SCP-Doxorubicin had a significantly better response to therapy (more CR, PR, and SD) than those receiving PEG-Doxorubicin (p<0.05). Patients had also a significantly better survival (more PFS6, TTP, and OS) to SCP-Doxorubicin therapy than those receiving PEG-Doxorubicin (p<0.05) where the PFS6, TTP, OS). Twenty-five adverse events occurred in patients receiving PEG-Doxorubicin, whereas there were only four adverse events in patients who received SCP-Doxorubicin. Palmar Plantar Erythrodysesthesi (PPE) was the most common adverse event in both the groups (p<0.05).

Conclusion: SCP-Doxorubicin had superior efficacy when compared to PEG-Doxorubicin independent of the patient’s prior therapeutic regimen and stage of carcinoma. Furthermore, SCP-Doxorubicin was found to be a comparatively safer treatment regimen with no major side effects and a significantly lower adverse event rate than PEG-Doxorubicin.
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SCP: Specific Conjugate Particle; PEG-Dox: Pegylated Liposomal Doxorubicin; SCP-DOX: Specific Conjugate Particle Doxorubicin; PFS: Progression Free Survival; OS: Overall Survival; TTP: Time to Progression (TTP); CR: Complete Response; PR: Partial Response; SD: Stable Disease; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging; WHO: World Health Organization

Introduction

High-grade gliomas are the most common malignant brain tumors and have a poor prognosis. Glioblastoma is the most frequently diagnosed and constitutes 16% of all brain tumors [1]. Surgery is the initial treatment of high-grade glioma which usually involves removing as much of the tumor as safely possible. The goals of surgery are to obtain a diagnosis, alleviate symptoms related to increased intracranial pressure or compression, increase survival, and decrease the need for corticosteroids. The median survival with surgery alone is approximately 4 months. Radiation is standard therapy for patients with high-grade gliomas after either maximal excision or biopsy. When used in combination with radiation therapy and surgery, chemotherapy may improve survival and quality of life in some patients with high-grade gliomas. The drug that are most widely used for high-grade glioma include temozolomide [2]. There was an absolute increase in 1-year survival from 40% to 46% and a 2-month increase in median survival when chemotherapy was added to postoperative radiation [3].

Therapeutic advances have been made in the past decade with the addition of temozolomide chemotherapy to maximal safe tumor resection and radiotherapy. However, median survival is still limited to only 15 months. Therefore, novel therapies are urgently needed [4,5].

Doxorubicin is one of the most effective agents in vitro against cell lines derived from malignant glioma [6]. However, it has no significant effect in vivo, and this finding appears to be attributed primarily to poor blood-brain barrier penetration. In a tumor model,
tissue and cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of doxorubicin increased when sterically stabilized liposomes were used [7]. Concentrations were further elevated with the use of the PEGylated formulation of doxorubicin (PEG-DOX) [8].

Doxorubicin is also known to induce tumor resistance genes. Doxorubicin is known to induce expression of members of the adenosine triphosphate-binding super family of transporter proteins, such as Multi Drug Resistance protein 1 (MDR-1) [9] and Multiple Resistance Protein (MRP) [10]. These are known to play major roles in the development of cellular resistance to chemotherapeutic agents [11]. MRP is strongly expressed in up to 70% of central nervous system tumour specimens, and MDR-1 expression has been detected in 18% of high-grade gliomas [12].

In other words, our current indulgence of the molecular basis of cancer, the progress in its discovery and treatment, and its high mortality is acute in seeking the right cure despite great advances have been made in therapies. SCP-Dox is an attempt in proceeding with the current treatment regimens for cancer which have shown limited survival benefits when used for most advanced stage cancers. We generally target the treatments on tumor bulk but not its cancer stem cells [13,14]. Conventional therapies target cancer cells which are highly proliferative and improve the patients survival if properly addressed/targeted [15]. The traditional cancer therapies, including surgery, hormonal therapy, anti-angiogenesis therapy, and/or immunotherapy show the lack of prolonged efficacy in its long-term outcome. This is deemed to the non-specific effects on normal cells. SCP-DOX may be deemed as an opening in answering this important element of our fight against cancer cells and/or its neoplastic tissues. We tackle the tumors specifically by utilizing leaky tumor phenomenon of the targeted malignancies and expose the stem cells in addition to the differentiating cells. This allows us to venture into the longer overall survival among the treated patients with the highly aggressive tumors such as STS, GBM, etc. We use the particle producing element of the SCP-Dox to mimic salinomycin, sulforaphane, a novel Gemini vitamin D analog (BXL0124) and so on. These naturally occurring compounds have the ability to target the stem cells which in turn relinquish the element of their refractory nature of the neoplasm [16-19]. We know that cancer stem cells possess characteristics associated with normal stem cells, specifically the ability to give rise to all cell types found in a particular cancer sample; however, it is often considered to be associated with chemo resistance and radio resistance that lead to the failure of traditional therapy [20]. Hence a new technology was invented to stabilize the Doxorubicin better called “Specific Conjugate Particle” (SCP) technology; we combined two different and independently acting compounds into one hybrid compound that can synergize. The potency of the new composite compound is greater than the sum of each moiety. The precedent hybrid compound comes from naturally occurring proteins and small molecules, such as botulinum toxin and bleomycin [21].

The SCP-Doxorubicin is 4-5 times more soluble in water and no precipitation or sedimentation was observed even after 24 hours of dissolving it in water at room temperature when compared to conventional doxorubicin. The solution remains stable over a wide pH range, with no aggregation at either acidic or neutral pH. The hybrid formulation is stable for two years in cold (8 °C) and for four weeks at 37 °C. There is no sign of interaction of serum proteins upon entry of the hybrid product into the body. Based on the available findings, we initiated a clinical trial which involved the use of SCP-DOX (Group 1) versus PEG-DOX (Group 2) to treat patients with recurrent high-grade glioma.

Materials and Methods

Patients and selection criteria

Protocols were drafted by standard good clinical practice guidelines and with the Helsinki Declaration. The local human investigations committee had approved both protocols before they were initiated, and informed consent was obtained from each patient before enrollment. The major eligibility criteria were high-grade glioma (World Health Organization [WHO] Grade III-IV) according to 2 independent pathology reports, tumor recurrence identified on gadolinium-enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), age 18-70 years, and a life expectancy of more than two months. In addition to undergoing surgery, all patients completed external-beam radiotherapy before being included in the study.

The trial design and treatment administration

Our study is a phase III randomized open label clinical trial with patients randomly assigned in a 1 to 1 ratio to receive either Specific Conjugate Particle Doxorubicin (SCP-Dox) or PEGylated Liposomal Doxorubicin (PEG-Dox) at a starting dose of 20 mg/m² by an intravenous infusion over 30 minutes per day (the regimen is not clear, which day is the therapy given? how long is a cycle? Were there any maximal number of cycles to be given or until progression or until Grade 3 to 4 toxicity occurred?). Doses were escalated by 40 mg/m² every fourth day until the total dose reached 480 mg (what is the maximum dose per body surface area) or until Grade 3 or 4 toxicity occurred. If Grade 3 or 4 myelotoxicity occurred, the next chemotherapy cycle was delayed. In addition to chemotherapy, standard supportive care measures were applied to avoid super added infections.

Evaluation of response and toxicity

All patients underwent an MRI with gadolinium before study inclusion and before every fourth treatment course (every 6 weeks) to determine tumor response. When clinical deterioration was observed, a repeat MRI was performed. Clinical data, as well as Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) and Mini-Mental Status, were assessed before each cycle. Standard laboratory parameters were measured each week or more frequently, if clinically necessary.

Progression-free survival at six months after the initiation of therapy (PFS-6) and toxicity was defined as the primary endpoints of the study; Progression-Free Survival at 12 months (PFS-12), Time to Progression (TTP) and Overall Survival (OS) were secondary endpoints. Established criteria were based on tumor response [22]. Side effects were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (Version 4.0) for chemotherapy-related side effects.

Statistics methods

The researchers based the current study on an adapted intent-to-treat design. Cox’s Regression Model of life table analysis was
Efficacy

All patients were monitored until either tumor progression or death occurred, and no patients were lost to follow-up. In the 80 patients evaluated, the overall response rate was 40%. We observed two complete responses (CRs) and two Partial Responses (PRs). We noted Stable Disease (SD) lasting longer than eight weeks in 28 patients, including 18 patients with Grade IV disease and ten patients with Grade III disease (Table 2). Patients receiving SCP-Dox had a significantly better response to therapy (more CR, PR, and SD) than those receiving PEG-Dox.

Comparison of the two treatment regimens revealed significant differences for Progression Free Survival (PFS) and Overall Survival (OS) as documented in (Table 3). PFS for SCP-Dox and PEG-Dox at 6 months was 75% and 25% respectively, and at 12 months was 50% and 12.5% respectively.

By definition, PFS at 6 months only provides data for the time point of 6 months after commencing therapy. However, it is being used increasingly in Phase III studies as it becomes clear that response rates are not a robust predictor of Time To Progression (TTP) or survival; PFS at 6 months, in contrast, corresponds well to median TTP.

Safety

Chemotherapy was discontinued in six patients on PEG-Dox but none on SCP-Dox. It was either due to toxicity (deep vein thrombosis in one patient) or at the patient’s request to leave the trial. The most common adverse event observed was Palmpoplantar Erythrodysesthesia (PPE) (grade 2-3), which was noted in 12 patients (2 receiving SCP-Dox and 10 receiving PEG-Dox). Two patients on PEG-Dox developed bullous exanthema (Grade 3), which resulted in treatment delay. Those patients who developed Palmpoplantar Erythrodysesthesia were treated with oral pyridoxine and methyl prednisolone as required. Six patients on PEG-Dox developed mucositis (Grade 2) with superinfection, however, it was possible to utilized to assess outcomes and statistical significance was confirmed via Kaplan-Meier estimates. After four treatment courses (8 weeks), response status was determined for the first time. In clinical trials involving patients with high-grade glioma, it is common to count patients with Stable Disease (SD) as responders, as was done in the statistical analysis. Nonetheless, Complete Responses (CRs), Partial Responses (PRs), and cases of SD are reported separately in the text. Cox’s regression method was used to estimate the risk of occurrence of defined events, and significance was assessed using the Wilcoxon test.

Results

Patient characteristics

Eighty patients (56 males and 24 females) with a recurrent high-grade glioma were recruited to the study and were available for assessment. These included 54 patients with glioblastoma, two patients with gliosarcoma, 22 patients with anaplastic astrocytoma, and two patients with anaplastic oligoastrocytoma. All patients had undergone open surgery at initial diagnosis, with the goal of maximum tumor removal, and received conventional whole brain irradiation.

A total of 563 courses of SCP-Dox/PEG-DOX were administered, with a range of 1-28 courses per patient. Limited issues were observed with SCP-Dox administration, and patients were evaluated for toxicity and response. Patient groups for PEG-Dox and SCP-Dox were homogeneous forage and extent of first-line resection (Table 1). In the SCP-Dox group, however, there were significantly more patients who had a Grade IV tumor (32, compared with 24 in PEG-Dox).

Table 3: Patients survival data (PFS=Progression Free Survival, OS=Overall Survival) [because there is clear difference, a line chart is much better to point the PFS and OS; i.e Kaplan-Meier curve.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient survival data</th>
<th>SCP-Dox</th>
<th>PEG-Dox</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary End Points</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Free Survival at 6 months (PFS-6)</td>
<td>30 (75%)</td>
<td>10 (25%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary Endpoints</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression Free Survival at 12 months (PFS-12)</td>
<td>20 (50%)</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Survival from diagnosis (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Survival from recurrence (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>30 (75%)</td>
<td>10 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>26 (65%)</td>
<td>6 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Safety data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>SCP-Dox (n=40)</th>
<th>PEG-Dox (n=40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 1-2</td>
<td>Grade 3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmoplantar erythrodysesthesia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucositis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superinfection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelotoxicity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions and Future Directions

However, to generalize the results, future research should involve more participants over a longer period of follow-up. We anticipate that improved results might be anticipated where patients are treated earlier in the course of their disease.

The development of SCP-Doxorubicin may be accompanied by a number of important lessons for the agent and for cancer therapy as a whole. These lessons have led to a successful clinical trial program for SCP-Dox in recurrent high grade gliomas. SCP-Dox is the first modulated Doxorubicin to demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in overall survival in a trial in patients with recurrent high grade gliomas. The predicted adverse events associated with SCP-Dox therapy are well described and reflect its small conjugated particle mechanism of action. Clinical studies showed that most adverse events were reversible using product-specific treatment guidelines, including prolonged duration of treatment and/or early temporary discontinuation of treatment. These guidelines can reduce the incidence of life-threatening events. The nature of the adverse events observed with SCP-Dox, along with potential safety studies, support the ability of SCP-Dox to break peripheral cytotoxic tolerance and to potentiate an antitumor chemotherapeutic response mediated by leaky tumor phenomenon. The kinetics of response and survival data for SCP-Dox in high grade gliomas are characterized based on new insights for small particle development and may have general applicability for similar therapies. Thus, the observations made during the development of SCP-Dox add to the growing evidence for the utility of the clinical paradigm for cancer cytotoxic therapies as may be defined by the Cancer Consortiums.
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