Adipofascial Posterior Interosseous Reverse Flap for Soft Tissue Loss on Dorsal Aspect of the Hand

Abstract
Soft tissue defects of hand should be carefully evaluated to determine the most appropriate alternative for coverage. Thirteen patients with soft tissue loss on dorsum of the hand were managed by reversed septo fascial Posterior Interosseous Artery (PIA) forearm flap. The largest size of the defect was 120x50 cm all the flaps survived. The donor site was closed primarily. The flaps contoured well to the recipient site and had good cohesion and color match. The reversed posterior interosseous flap is a versatile and reliable alternative for coverage of moderate sized defects of the hand.

Introduction
Skin loss on dorsal side of the hand and fingers often necessitates creating a vascularized flap whether free, distant or regional [1]. Several flaps have been described in order to allow an appropriate reconstruction. Among these, the posterior interosseous flap is a valuable option. It is a pedicled island flap, which receives reverse-flow through anastomosis between the posterior and anterior interosseous arteries [2]. Since it was evolved in 1986 by Pentado and Zancolli, the reverse pedicled PIOA flap, gained popularity as a cruciate alternate for management of hand soft tissue loss [3,4].

Vascular anatomical variations, donor side morbidity and its distal limit to limited to the MCPJ of the fingers and the IPJ of the thumb, were frequent cons reported by multiple authors in early applications of PIOA flaps [5].

Current study represents our results with the use of reversed adipofascial posterior interosseous artery flap for reconstruction of soft-tissue defects the dorsum of the hand.

Patients and Methods
Between May 2013 and April 2016, thirteen cases of skin loss on dorsum of the hand were managed by debridement and adipofascial posterior interosseous artery flap (Figure 1).

The patients mean age was 30 years (range 6-46) years. Skin defects were between 120x50 cm and 60x35 cm, and mean time of delay after trauma was 4.23 days (range 3-7 days). The causes of injury were occupational trauma among four cases, road traffic accident in six patients and gunshot injuries in three cases. Eleven subjects were males while two cases were females.

The right side was affected in eight cases while the left side was injured in five cases. The average of tourniquet time range 58.23 minutes (range 47-70 minutes). The average of hospital stay was four days (3-5 days)

The quality of the donor site scar rated with the Vancouver Scar Scale averaged one point ranging from zero to three points.

Verifcation of the distal anastomotic vessel between the anterior interosseous and PIOA 2 cm proximal to the wrist level using preoperative Doppler.

The patient is positioned in supine position. The affected upper limb is positioned on an arm table, with forearm in pronation.

A pneumatic tourniquet is applied in to make the dissection easier.

A line is drawn from the ulnar styloid to the lateral epicondyle. This line is then divided in four sections. The junction of the proximal third and the distal third corresponds to the area where the septocutaneous branches of the posterior interosseous artery emerge. Septocutaneous flap including this area is thus located on the two central quarters. The skin is incised through to the antebrachial fascia. The incision starts at the distal part at the level of the distal radio-ulnar articulation.
allowing confirmation the presence of the anastomosis between the anterior and posterior interosseous artery. On the straight portion of the incision, the fascia is incised on the tendons of the Extensor Carpi Ulnaris (ECU) and Extensor Digiti Minimi (EDM). A fascia strip containing the septum is then isolated through to the central fascial paddle containing the perforators (Figure 2).

Then, the fascial paddle dissection is extended to the radial side. The fascia is gradually lifted from the Extensor Carpi Radialis Brevis (ECRB) and Extensor Digitorum Communis (EDC) muscles. Several septa have to be sectioned (between the ECRB and EDC and between the EDC and EDM) but we do not incise the septum between the EDM and ECU. Lifting EDM to allow good evaluation of the septocutanous perforators, then the posterior interosseous nerve is isolated. The posterior interosseous artery is temporary closed by bulldog at its proximal part, the tourniquet is released and the perfusion of the flap is assessed. Ligation of posterior interosseous artery and rotation of flap through cutaneous tunnel with precaution to avoid rotation or kinking of pedicle. Inset of flap and secure to recipient site via interrupted absorbable sutures. Finally, the flap is covered with split thickness skin graft. The donor site is closed primary in layers. Bulky non-tight dressing is applied; the wrist is splinted in 10 degrees dorsiflexion.

Results

The mean hospitalization time was 2.2 days (range 1-5 days), and follow-up period was 12.8 months (range 15 days to 30 months).

All flaps survived except one case with aberrant posterior interosseous artery suffers from venous congestion and partial necrosis of the periphery of the flap. The flaps were assessed by physical examination using criteria such as temperature, turgor, color of flap and scratch test. There was neither flap ischemia nor venous congestion apart from the previous mentioned sole case. None of our cases developed infection or neurological insult.

Finally, all flaps provided adequate, viable coverage and reasonable contour. All donor sites were primary closed and left exposed at day of discharge from hospital. All patients were satisfied with the cosmetic results in long-term follow up assessments. All the facial flaps were skin grafted. The take of grafts were quite good.

Discussion

Management of soft tissue loss in the hand is technically demanding task. Reconstruction of soft tissue coverage of vital structures as vessels, nerves, tendons and bones of the hand by non-vascularized split-thickness or full-thickness skin grafting is not accepted due to adhesions, loss of soft tissue pliability, which interferes with tendon gliding and movement [6]. The use of sufficiently large, more durable and well-vascularized tissue is essential for preservation of hand function [7].

The free tissue transfer provided the advantage of supplying extensive tissue during a one-stage operation. However, there are some disadvantages such as special surgical skill, well-organized team, long hospital stay, lengthy procedure and not suitable for elderly patients with associated co-morbidies [8].

Distant flaps like abdominal or inguinal flaps provide versatile soft tissue coverage but necessitate two operative stages for flap weaning. Moreover, mismatch with recipient site as regard texture, thickness and color may be cosmetic issues [9].

Regional local flaps as Chinese and ulnar perforator flaps are considered a corner stone in management of soft tissue defects in the hands but scarifying and donor site morbidity are main points of criticism [10].

Distally based island fasciocutaneous flaps, as posterior interosseous artery is a thin, very versatile flap that obviates previous mentioned cons. The main advantage of the PIA flap is that, It does not jeopardize major vessels, whether radial or ulnar in contrast to Chinese and ulnar flaps. This flap is harvested on the ipsilateral injured limb avoiding further weaning and the immobilization imposed by an inguinal flap. Besides, the thickness of the subcutaneous tissue is perfectly appropriate to that of the hand. The cosmetic result at the level of the recipient site is very often satisfactory [11] (Figure 3 & 4).

Multiple authors reported draw backs for PIOA flaps as vascular.
The adipofascial PIA forearm flap is reliable, safe and rapid alternative for resurfacing defects of the dorsal of the hand. Being adipofascial guarantees minimal donor side morbidity, extensive coverage.
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