Water Quality Evaluation of Ekułu River Using Water Quality Index (WQI)

Introduction

Healthy Lotic systems such as unpolluted rivers are extremely important to the sustenance of human life and aquatic biota in addition to ecosystem functioning common with aquatic ecosystems. Water quality of rivers all over the world is under tremendous threat from industrial activities and increased rate of urbanization that engender anthropogenic activities of worrisome dimensions [1,2]. By water quality, we mean the chemical, physical and biological properties of the water that makes it suitable for a designated use and therefore varies from one designated use to the other. Rivers are freshwater sources that provide mankind with water for several purposes including drinking, agricultural, industrial and recreational [3].

Pollution of rivers by non-point sources such as agricultural run-off is more difficult to control than pollution by point sources like industrial discharges making it extremely necessary to have a good monitoring programme that will provide timely changes in water quality of rivers [4]. Planning and management of water resources require a good knowledge of water quality which is gained via adequate temporal and spatial data gathering efforts followed by the analysis and interpretation of the data [5].

Water Quality Index (WQI) is one of the methods used in the evaluation of water quality of surface water bodies such as rivers. It is widely accepted to have the ability to combine several environmental parameters to generate a single dimensionless value hence offering a rapid means of evaluation and comparison of water quality of several water systems [6]. WQI is reputed to be reproducible simple and efficient [6,7]. Several studies have been carried out even in several regions of the world to assess WQI of water systems including lotic systems such as rivers [6,8-10]. However, the WQI of Ekułu river in Enugu State Nigeria especially as affected by anthropogenic activities such defunct coal mine drainage is not reported yet. This study is therefore aimed at investigating the effects of the acid mine drainage, waste discharge into the river and other anthropogenic activities on the water quality of the river along a 5 km stretch using WQI. This will provide information as to the portability or otherwise of the water of the river at several sections studied.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study area is in Enugu metropolis and is located geographically between latitude $6^\circ 21'$ N and $6^\circ 30'$ N and between longitude $7^\circ 25'$ E and $7^\circ 37'$ E. Rainy season (April - October) and dry season (November-March) are the two seasons of the study area (Figure 1). This study is on Ekułu river which is a fast flowing perennial river that drains through the metropolis and receives waste discharges from several anthropogenic activities. The defunct Onyeama coal mine in the study area is a major influence on the water quality of Ekułu river. Onyeama coal mine is in Enugu Coal Field and was abandoned just like other coal mines in Enugu some decades ago due to the emergence of oil and gas following the discovery of oil and gas in the Niger delta region of Nigeria. Coal mine water from Onyeama mine
during the rainy season discharges into the Ekulu river. The water of this river is used by nearby residents for washing clothing and cars, bathing, swimming, and cooking. In this study, the Onyeama area constituted a sampling point whereas 4 km downstream Onyeama mine was another sampling point identified as ‘Damija’. The third sampling point which is about 1 km downstream Damija is identified as ‘The Hotel’ (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Map of the study area showing sampling locations, Onyeama mine (waterfall) covering a distance of about 5 km.

### Sampling

Samples were collected in triplicates bi-weekly in October, 2017 and February, 2018 to cover both the wet and dry seasons. The sampling locations were selected to include the waterfall zone, Damija zone and The Gate hotel zone. Damija zone is located 2.5 km downstream the waterfall zone and 1.5 km upstream The Gate hotel zone. The water samples were collected into a 1 L HNO₃ pre-washed polyethylene containers. Preservation of the heavy metals in the water samples was achieved by acidifying the samples using 5 mL of 6M HNO₃ prior to transportation to the laboratory for analysis. The sediment and water samples were put into a sampling box filled with ice cubes for maintaining sample temperature below 4 °C and transported to the laboratory for analysis. The study area and sampling locations are as presented in (Figure 1).

### Laboratory analysis

#### Analysis of water samples

The physic-chemistry parameters such as Electrical Conductivity (EC), pH, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), chloride, sulphate, nitrate, and phosphate were analyzed following APHA (1998) standard procedure[11]. Aliquots of 100 mL were drawn from the collected water samples and filtered using whatman filter paper. The filtrate is collected and analyzed for heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Cr, Pb, Cd using SensAA GBC Flame Atomic absorption spectrometer.

#### Water quality index (WQI)

Sahu and Sikdar (2008) procedure of estimating WQI was adopted in this study:

- Weight \( (w_i) \) assignment based on the health effects of the parameters.

Assigned weight is between 1 and 5. The parameters that are of major importance are assigned highest weight whereas those that are of minor importance are assigned low weight. Hence, cadmium, lead, nitrate and fluoride are assigned a weight of 5 each whereas copper and iron are assigned 2 and 3 respectively. See (Table 1) for detailed weights as assigned.

- Relative weight \( (W_i) \)

The relative weight \( (W_i) \) is computed as follows:

\[
W_i = w_i / \sum w_i 
\]

Where: \( w_i \) is the arbitrarily assigned weight based on the above described criterion.

- Assignment of quality rating scale

\[
q_i = \left( C_i / S_i \right) 100
\]

\( q_i \) = quality rating scale; \( C_i \) = concentration in groundwater sample of the parameters

- Water quality index (WQI)

\[
WQI = \Sigma SI
\]

The weight and the relative weight of the parameters used in determining the WQI is as presented in (Table 1).

The \( w_i \) values are adapted from [12].

Water quality ranking using WQI is based on the following scheme: < 50 implies excellent water; 50-100 implies good water; 100-200 implies poor water; 200-300 implies very poor water; >300 implies water unsuitable for drinking [12].

### Results and Discussions

#### Values of the water quality parameters

(Table 2) presents the values of the water quality parameters.

(Table 2) Concentration of the heavy metals and values of the physico-chemical parameters for the months of October, 2017 and February, 2018

The Electrical Conductivity (EC) in the month of October 2017 varied from 19.4 - 64.1 \( \mu S/cm \) and increases as we move from the Onyeama section of the river to The Hotel indicating the possibility of human activities taking place between Onyeama and The Hotel releasing substances into the river that dissociate to form ions capable of conducting electricity (Table 2). The TDS and EC values around Damija and The Hotel are higher and significantly different.

### Table 1: Weight and relative weight for determining WQI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SON Standard</th>
<th>( w_i )</th>
<th>( W_i )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5-8.5 (7.5)*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS mg/L</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl mg/L</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F mg/L</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄ mg/L</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃ mg/L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe mg/L</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb mg/L</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd mg/L</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC ( \mu S/cm )</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Sigma = 44 \) \( \sum = 1 \)
Table 2: presents the values of the water quality parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (µS/cm)</th>
<th>TDS (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cl (mg/L)</th>
<th>SO₄ (mg/L)</th>
<th>NO₃ (mg/L)</th>
<th>TOC (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cr (mg/L)</th>
<th>Pb (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cu (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cd (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onyeama</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damija</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of Parameters for February 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (µS/cm)</th>
<th>TDS (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cl (mg/L)</th>
<th>SO₄ (mg/L)</th>
<th>NO₃ (mg/L)</th>
<th>TOC (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cr (mg/L)</th>
<th>Pb (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cu (mg/L)</th>
<th>Cd (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onyeama</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damija</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: WQI of Ekulu river.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>October, 2017 (rainy season)</th>
<th></th>
<th>January, 2018 (dry season)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>WQI</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onyeama</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>Unsuitable for drinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damija</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Unsuitable for drinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hotel</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>Unsuitable for drinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onyeama</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>Unsuitable for drinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damija</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hotel</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>Unsuitable for drinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from those around Onyeama during the rainy season supporting the possibility of anthropogenic input of contaminants around Damija and The Hotel. However, there is no significant difference in the EC and TDS values for all locations indicating that the relative high input of contaminants during the rainy season could be linked to run-off.

The river is mildly more acidic in the dry season than the rainy season as the pH was in the range 5.7-6.3 in the dry season but 6.2-6.5 in the rainy season (Table 2). The result also indicates that the water sample taken around Onyeama is more acidic than those taken from Damija and The Hotel and is most likely to be due to acid mine drainage around Onyeama.

The rainy season concentration of nitrate, chloride and sulphate is higher than the dry season values indicating input from agricultural activities transported by run-off and possible input from run-off draining through indiscriminate waste dumps and transporting chloride and sulphate into the river.

In comparison with the studies carried out in Nyaba and Ekulu river as reported by [13,14], the values of the physic-chemistry parameters of the present study are lower except for pH and EC values which are equivalent.

TOC is higher in wet season than dry season for all the locations and is attributable to run-off carrying minor oil spillages to the river.

The Pb concentration level of the water exceeds that of World Health Organization (WHO) maximum allowable contaminant level (MACL) of 0.01 mg/L for all seasons. The rainy season concentration of Cr in Damija and The Gate Hotel sections of the river exceeds the WHO MACL of 0.05 mg/L. During the rainy season, only the Gate Hotel is contaminated with Cd with concentration level of 0.01 mg/L which is higher than 0.003 mg/L WHO MACL for Cd. The concentration of copper for all the seasons is significantly lower than the WHO MACL of 2 mg/L. In comparison with other rivers in Nigeria such as River Argungu, the concentration of Pb, Cu, Zn and Cd in Ekulu river is lower but Cr concentration level is higher in Ekulu river [15]. The reported concentration levels of all the studied heavy metals in the water column of the rivers of other parts of the world such as Tembi River, Iran and Odiel River, Spain are higher than those of Ekulu River [16,17].

In the study conducted in Ekulu river as reported in 2013, the river was polluted with Pb, Fe and Cu [18]. In yet another study conducted in 2015, it was reported that the Ekulu river was polluted with cadmium [19]. The findings of the present study with respect to heavy metal pollution are in agreement with those of the earlier studies mentioned above.

Water quality evaluation using WQI

The WQI of Ekulu river around the locations studied is presented in (Table 3).

The major contaminants of Ekulu river in the studied sections of the river in the month of October, 2018 were mainly hydrocarbons and lead. The Water Quality Index (WQI) for the month of October ranged from 372-1198 indicating water quality ranking of ‘unsuitable for drinking’ for all locations studied. This therefore demonstrates that Ekulu river within the studied sections should not be a source of drinking water unless it is treated. In the dry season, the major contaminants were cadmium and lead. This study therefore provides evidence that the Ekulu river within Onyeama and The Hotel is not fit for drinking and must be treated before consumption.

Conclusion

This study having determined the concentration of heavy metals and values of physico-chemistry parameters of Ekulu river found that
the major contaminants of Ekulu river around the studied locations viz, Onyeama, Damija and The Hotel were lead and cadmium. Acid mine drainage, indiscriminate waste dumping and agricultural run-off are potential sources of pollution with these contaminants. The water quality index for all the locations for all seasons varied in the range 288-1910 showing that the water ranked from ‘very poor’ to ‘unsuitable for drinking’. This result indicates that the Ekulu river within the studied locations cannot serve as a source of drinking water unless it is treated before consumption. This study has therefore provided enough information to guide policy formulation and implementation by government agencies for the protection of the river and public health.
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