Avens Publishing Group J Cancer Sci September 2015 Volume 2 Issue 2 © All rights are reserved by Cheng et al.

Rectifying the Epigenetic Field Defect in Gastric Carcinogenesis

Keywords: Gastric cancer; Epigenetic therapy; DNA demethylation

Comment on Epigenetic Silencing of GDF1 Disrupts SMAD Signaling to Reinforce Gastric Cancer Development. [Oncogene 2015]

Gastric cancer is undoubtedly a fatal malignancy worldwide with high incidence and dismal prognosis. Its formation and development can be driven by genetic defects, dietary factors, alcohol consumption, viral infection, etc. Despite the multifactorial nature, more than 80% of gastric cancers are closely related to Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection [1]. It is believed that H. pylori triggers chronic gastritis that results in gastric atrophy, intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia, and then finally to adenocarcinoma. Such microbial infection is associated with strong host response such as cytokine stimulation and neutrophil activation which play an important driver role in gastric carcinogenesis. The stimulated cytokines include pro-inflammatory interleukin-1β (IL-1β), IL-2, IL-6, IL-8 and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-a), of which the IL-8 action in stimulating neutrophils is significantly enhanced upon infection by CagA-positive H. pylori [2]. In addition to immune system, *H. pylori* is known to perturb the expression of host genes by different mechanisms. For examples, H. pylori can cause promoter hypermethylation and silencing of FOXD3, leading to decreased levels of cell death modulators CYFIP2 and RARB to favor the proliferation of gastric cancer cells [3]. Moreover, H. pylori can epigenetically down-regulate the transcription of miR-490-3p, thereby resume the expression of its direct target SMARCD1 which is a member of the SWItch/SucroseNonFermentable (SWI/ SNF) chromatin remodeling family with oncogenic functional roles [4]. The H. Pylori deregulated host genes are of clinical significance as demonstrated by their respective correlations with various clinicpathological features of gastric cancer patients [3,4].

Based on the deteriorating effects of H. pylori, different first- and second-line therapies have been developed for elimination of H. pylori in patients. Common regimens include proton-pump inhibitors, ranitidine bismuth citrate and bismuth, which are often applied in combination to increase the therapeutic response [5]. In patients free of precancerous lesions, removal of H. pylori can significantly reduce the risk of stomach cancer formation. Nevertheless, the efficacy of H. pylori eradication in treating gastric cancer remains obscure, if not unsatisfactory [6]. Such outcome is apparently due to the irreversible H. pylori-triggered damages to gastric mucosa, implicating the carcinogenic effect of this organism is mainly exerted at early stage of infection. To find an alternative approach for gastric cancer therapy, extensive research had previously been performed to characterize the molecular deregulations induced by H. pylori infection, among which epigenetic alternation has emerged as a potential therapeutic target owning to its reversible nature by exogenous agents. Importantly, pathogenic epigenetic changes are not confined to H. pylori-associated gastric cancers, but are also found in other non-microbial subtypes,

Open Access

Sournal of Cancer Sciences

Myth T.S. Mok^{1,3}, Weiqin Yang^{1,2} and Alfred S. L. Cheng^{1-3*}

¹School of Biomedical Sciences, ²Institute of Digestive Disease and State Key Laboratory of Digestive Disease, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China ³Shenzhen Research Institute, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China

*Address for Correspondence

Alfred S.L. Cheng, Ph.D., School of Biomedical Sciences and State Key Laboratory of Digestive Disease, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China, Tel: (852) 39439842; Fax: (852) 26035123; E-mail: alfredcheng@cuhk.edu.hk

Submission: 31 August 2015 Accepted: 29 September 2015 Published: 02 October 2015 Copyright: © 2015 Mok MTS, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,

provided the original work is properly cited.

suggesting that such abnormalities exist in the majority of stomach cancers and are subject to amendment.

The notion of epigenetic therapy is supported by the availability of highly specific drugs, such asromidepsin and entinostat, which can target epigenetic regulatory proteins and have demonstrated promising effects in lymphoma and lung cancer patients under phase II clinical trials [7]. In particular, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-azadC), a DNA demethylating agent, has been approved by Food and Drug administration (FDA) to treat myelodysplasia and acute myelogenousleukaemia (AML), and it also improved the survival of ovarian cancer patients when combined with carboplatin in phase II clinical trial [7,8]. Recent evidence further indicates that a lowdose, dose-intensive schedule of epigenetic drug produces superior clinical efficacy. Nonetheless, epigenetic drugs have yet been tested in gastric cancer patients primarily due to scarce in vivo evidence from relevant animal models. To this end, we have recently examined the effect of 5-aza-dC in a well-established gastric cancer mouse model [9]. We discovered that 5-aza-dC treatment effectively neutralized the carcinogenic effect of N-nitroso-N-methylurea (MNU) and significantly lowered the resulting gastric cancer incidence. Using genome-wide analysis, we pinpointed Gdf1 as the key promoterhypermethylated and drug-targeted gene in the murine gastric cancer tissues. Importantly, the human GDF1 was also subject to promoter hypermethylation which could be demethylated by 5-aza-dC in gastric cancer cell lines. Following in vitro and in vivo assays consistently demonstrated that GDF1 played a tumor suppressor role by inhibiting cell proliferation and restricting cell cycle progression. Such functional effects were caused by induced expression of phosphorylated (p)-SMAD2/3 and nuclear accumulation of SMAD4, which in turn activated the transcription of cell cycle regulators *p15* and *p21*, and down-regulated the oncogenic *c-Myc* and p-retinoblastoma (Rb). This GDF1-SMAD signaling cascade is of clinical relevance as GDF1 hypermethylation was significantly associated with poor survival, and GDF1 silencing paralleled with p-SMAD2/3 down-regulation in an Asian gastric cancer cohort.

Editorial

ISSN: 2377-9292

Our mechanistic finding, together with a previous study reporting the chemo-preventive effect of 5-aza-dC in a H. pylori-infected and MNU-treated gerbil model [10], demonstrate the effectiveness of epigenetic drug in suppressing gastric cancer development. Given the approved application in myelodysplasia and AML patients, 5-azadC could be further evaluated for management of gastric cancer. To substantiate such proposal, future validation of 5-aza-dC effect, together with molecular profiling of the drug-treated tissues, in other gastric cancer animal models is warranted. Based on the 5-aza-dCinduced global demethylation that can reactivate an array of functional genes, we anticipate that the consequential tumor suppression is mediated by a complex signaling network wherein the GDF1-SMAD axis only represents one of the core pathways. Delineation of additional 5-aza-dC-related mechanisms would be beneficial for identification of gastric cancer subtypes with potential susceptibility or resistance to such epigenetic therapy. Of note, a recent multicenter prospective cohort study showed that the methylation levels of three pre-selected genes (miR-124a-3, EMX1 and NKX6-1) can predict the risk of developing metachronous gastric cancer [11]. It will be of future interest to evaluate such epigenetic field effect in 5-azadC-treated gastric cancer, and further mechanistic studies of these epigenetically-regulated genes may reveal crucial pathways protective against gastric carcinogenesis.

References

 Uemura N, Okamoto S, Yamamoto S, Matsumura N, Yamaguchi S, et al. (2001) *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the development of gastric cancer. N Engl J Med 345: 784-789.

- Suerbaum S, Michetti P (2002) Helicobacter pylori infection. N Engl J Med 347: 1175-1186.
- Cheng AS, Li MS, Kang W, Cheng VY, Chou JL, et al. (2013) *Helicobacter* pylori causes epigenetic dysregulation of FOXD3 to promote gastric carcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 144: 122-133.
- Shen J, Xiao Z, Wu WK, Wang MH, To KF, et al. (2015) Epigenetic silencing of miR-490-3p reactivates the chromatin remodeler SMARCD1 to promote *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastric carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 75: 754-765.
- Graham DY (2015) Helicobacter pylori update: gastric cancer, reliable therapy, and possible benefits. Gastroenterology 148: 719-731.
- Wong BC, Lam SK, Wong WM, Chen JS, Zheng TT, et al. (2004) Helicobacter pylori eradication to prevent gastric cancer in a high-risk region of China: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 291: 187-194.
- Azad N, Zahnow CA, Rudin CM, Baylin SB (2013) The future of epigenetic therapy in solid tumours--lessons from the past. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 10: 256-266.
- Kantarjian H, Oki Y, Garcia-Manero G, Huang X, O'Brien S, et al. (2007) Results of a randomized study of 3 schedules of low-dose decitabine in higher-risk myelodysplastic syndrome and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. Blood 109: 52-57.
- Yang W, Mok MT, Li MS, Kang W, Wang H, et al. (2015) Epigenetic silencing of GDF1 disrupts SMAD signaling to reinforce gastric cancer development. Oncogene [Epub ahead of print].
- Niwa T, Toyoda T, Tsukamoto T, Mori A, Tatematsu M, et al. (2013) Prevention of *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastric cancers in gerbils by a DNA demethylating agent. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 6: 263-270.
- Asada K, Nakajima T, Shimazu T, Yamamichi N, Maekita T, et al. (2015) Demonstration of the usefulness of epigenetic cancer risk prediction by a multicentre prospective cohort study. Gut 64: 388-396.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Collaborative Research Fund (C4017-14G), Health and Medical Research Fund (08070172), National Natural Science Foundation of China (373492, 81302167), Focused Investment Scheme - Scheme B (1907301) and the Direct Grant from the Chinese University of Hong Kong.