Carnitine Enigma: From Antioxidant Action to Vitagene Regulation. Part 1. Absorption, Metabolism, and Antioxidant Activities
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Abstract

L-carnitine (LC) is a small water-soluble molecule playing an important role in fat metabolism and there is a growing interest in the potential uses of LC as a medicinal agent and as a nutritional/dietary supplement. In addition to a great interest from medical/scientific point of view, carnitine received a substantial attention from pig and poultry industry. In particular, poultry and pig diets are formulated mainly with plant feed ingredients which are poor sources of carnitine. Furthermore, internal carnitine synthesis depends on many factors and in some cases could be inadequate. Therefore, it seems likely that carnitine dietary supplementation of highly productive and/or stressed animals/birds is of great importance. The molecular mechanisms accounting for the positive effects of LC on farm animals and poultry are not yet determined but many protective effects of LC reported in literature have been related to its antioxidant action.

Based on literature review it is concluded that there are several important mechanisms of antioxidant action of carnitine. Firstly, carnitine is shown to directly scavenge free radicals. However, this activity is most likely related to the gut antioxidant defences and has limited relevance to target tissues with relatively low carnitine concentrations. Secondly, carnitine can chelate transition metals (Fe and Cu), preventing their participation in ROS formation via Fenton reaction. However, detailed mechanisms of this process should be further elucidated using modern techniques applied to various biological systems. Again, this carnitine action is very much related to the gut. Thirdly, and more importantly, LC is found to decrease free radical formation by inhibiting specific enzymes (e.g. xanthine oxidase and NADPH oxidase) responsible for free radical production. This carnitine action has a high biological relevance in various stress conditions. Fourthly, and most importantly, carnitine is shown to participate in maintaining the integrity of mitochondria, including electron-transport chain of mitochondria, in stress conditions. Indeed, carnitine can be considered as a mitochondria-specific antioxidant, responsible for mitochondria integrity maintenance and regulation of ROS production and ROS signalling. Fifthly, carnitine can affect vitamin E absorption and metabolism improving the total antioxidant systems. There are important additional mechanisms of carnitine AO activity, including activation/inhibition of various transcription factors and vitagene networks.

Antioxidant activities of carnitine in physiologically relevant concentrations have been well demonstrated in various in vitro systems including cell cultures or isolated cells or organelles. Protective effect of LC and its derivatives on the antioxidant systems of the body are also shown in various models of oxidative stress/toxicity caused by a variety of toxicants and neurotoxic agents. Several lines of evidence from animal experiments and clinical studies indicate that LC supplementation is effective in preventing oxidative stress under various pathological conditions (hypoxia, ischemia-reperfusion, ionizing radiation, hypertension, renal failure and drug-induced nephrotoxicity, over-exercising and ageing) and in patients with various diseases. Antioxidant protective effects were shown by using a range of oxidative stress-related indexes, including lipid peroxidation (MDA, TBARS, and DNA damage), protein oxidation (carbonyls and sulphhydryls), activities of antioxidant enzymes and transcription factors (Nrf2 and NF-kB), etc.

Based on current knowledge carnitine exerts its antioxidant potential in biological systems via a combination of the aforementioned activities.
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Introduction

L-carnitine (LC), a naturally occurring and widely distributed in nature compound was discovered in 1905 by Gulewitsch and Krimberg
For the last 50 years this nutrient has received a substantial attention from medical sciences and poultry and pig nutritionists. Main dietary sources of carnitine in poultry/animal nutrition are animal-derived feed ingredients while grains and their by-products are quite poor in carnitine [2]. Because of the cereal grains and their by-products represent the major component of poultry and pig diets and endogenous carnitine synthesis depends on many factors, it could well be that chickens and pigs may face carnitine inadequacy in some situations such as stress and high performance. For example, chicken embryos have a limited capacity to synthesize LC during incubation [3] and freshly laid eggs from hens fed diets of plant origin possess low concentrations of LC [4]. There is also a metabolic need for supplemental carnitine in young pigs [5]. Furthermore, LC in the maternal diet of breeder’s affects carcass traits of their progeny, decreasing carcass fat and increasing breast meat in progeny fed on high nutrient density diets [6].

Therefore, several early studies on pigs, fish, foal and broiler chickens demonstrate a growth improvement and other beneficial effects by feeding extra dietary LC [7]. In a recent review it was concluded that in poultry production, LC has a multi-functional purpose, which includes: growth promotion, strengthening the immune system and antioxidant effects [8]. It seems likely that the endogenous synthesis of LC by sows does not cover the amount required for maximum performance during pregnancy and lactation. Indeed, L-carnitine supplementation during pregnancy increased the number of piglets born to sows (+0.1-2.8 piglets), showed heavier litters (+0.3-2.6 kg) and litters of LC supplemented sows gained more weight during the suckling period (+2.6-7.8 kg) than litters of control sows [9]. It is worth mentioning that LC supplementation (400 mg/day) during suckling intensifies the early postnatal skeletal myofibril formation in piglets of low birth weight [10].

The molecular mechanisms accounting for the positive effects of LC on livestock animals and poultry are not yet determined but many protective effects of LC reported in literature have been related to its antioxidant action. Therefore, the aim of this paper is a critical review of recent data related to antioxidant action of carnitine as a possible mechanism of its protective action against various stresses in farm animal and poultry production. Absorption and Metabolism of Carnitine

Carnitine absorption and metabolism have been reviewed elsewhere [11,12] and can be summarised as follows. Dietary LC is absorbed by active and passive transfer across enterocyte membranes. Bioavailability of dietary LC is about 54-87%, depending on the amount of L-carnitine in the diet. However, bioavailability of LC dietary supplements is substantially lower, comprising about 14-18% of dose [13]. It seems likely that there are species-specific differences in carnitine assimilation from the diet. For example, in supplemented pigs (500 mg/kg diet), LC absorption and degradation in the intestinal tract was estimated at 30-40% and 60-70% of LC respectively [14]. In more recent publication it has been shown that young pigs have a high capacity to absorb carnitine from the diet and plasma and tissue carnitine concentrations in young pigs can be markedly increased by supplementation of carnitine [15]. Indeed, absorption rate of the supplemented carnitine in the small intestine was greater than 95% for the lower doses (25, 50, 100 mg/kg) and greater than 90% for the higher doses (200, 500, 1000 mg/kg). Furthermore, dietary supplementation of carnitine caused a dose-dependent increase of free carnitine, acetyl carnitine (ALC) and total carnitine concentrations in plasma, liver, kidney, heart and skeletal muscle. In fact, at the highest dose of 1000 mg/kg, plasma and tissue total carnitine concentrations were 3-6 fold higher than in the unsupplemented control group [15].

It is interesting to note that a moderate excess of dietary lysine lowers plasma and tissue carnitine concentrations in pigs [16].

It has been shown that active carrier-mediated transport maintains high tissue/plasma concentration ratios [13] and carnitine metabolism and homeostasis are controlled by organic cation transporters (OCTN) [17]. For example, active sodium-dependent high affinity OCTN2 is responsible for LC transport in the kidney and other tissues [18] and OCTN2 is regulated by PPARG indicating that lipid also may affect LC transport into tissues [19]. Recent comparative analysis indicates that the role of PPARG as a regulator of carnitine homeostasis is well conserved across different species, including rat, mouse, pig, cattle, chicken, and human [20]. There are species-specific differences in OCTN2 expression. In particular, in humans, OCTN2 is expressed strongly in kidney but only weakly in other tissues [18], whereas in rats OCTN2 is highly expressed in kidney and testis and to a lesser extent in liver [21].

Chicken enterocytes maintain a steady-state LC gradient of 5 to 1 and 90% of the transported LC remains in a readily diffusive form. In chicken brush-border membrane concentrative LC transport is Na+-, membrane voltage- and pH-dependent and has a high affinity for LC (Km 26-31 µM) [22]. Therefore, the aforementioned transporter has properties similar to those of OCTN2. It is interesting to note that non-proliferating species are also able to cover their increased demand for carnitine during fasting by an increased LC synthesis and uptake into cells. Indeed, fasting increases the activity of gamma-butyrobetaine dioxygenase (BBD) in liver and kidney and up-regulates the expression of OCTN2 in various tissues of pigs, probably mediated by PPARG activation [23]. It was shown that treatment with a PPARG agonist causes an upregulation of OCTN2 in liver, muscle and enterocytes from small intestine of pigs with following increases carnitine concentrations in liver and muscle probably by enhancing carnitine uptake into cells [24,25].

LC and its short-chain esters do not bind to plasma proteins and excess carnitine is excreted via the kidneys [11]. LC is eliminated from the body mainly via urinary excretion. Meat and meat products are main dietary sources of LC for human, while plant foods are poor sources of carnitine [26]. Carnitine contents in grains (wheat, barley, corn, etc.), comprising major part of poultry diets, is about 5-7 mg/kg, while in soybean meal and sunflower meal carnitine concentrations are 15 and 5 mg/kg respectively [27]. Therefore, in commercial poultry and pig production there is a risk of carnitine deficiency associated with various stress conditions. In general, chicken diet is shown to contain 17.8-22.9 mg carnitine/kg [28].

It has been suggested that in animals and man the liver and kidney are the main sites of LC synthesis. For example, in pigs liver and kidney are shown to be the only tissues with a considerable activity of γ-butyrobetaine dioxygenase (BBD), the last enzyme of carnitine synthesis [29]. It is important to mention, that synthesis of LC requires the essential amino acids lysine and methionine as well as such micronutrients as iron, ascorbic acid, vitamin B6 and niacin. Therefore, various stress conditions as well as an imbalanced diet can
create a need for external LC supplementation [30]. Furthermore, carnitine synthesis is a reasonably slow process and does not readily keep up with fast changes of the metabolic requirements in stress conditions [31]. The impact of internal LC synthesis on the carnitine balance is comparatively limited since only about 25% of carnitine comes from its de novo synthesis while about 75% of carnitine is coming from the diet [32]. There are also species-specific differences in rate of carnitine synthesis with pigs having a lower rate of carnitine synthesis in tissues than humans [15]. In fact, carnitine biosynthesis in pigs fed diets without LC supplementation were estimated at 6.71 and 10.63 umol/kg/day in low protein and high protein groups respectively [5,14]. Kinetics of carnitine palmitoyltransferase-I, a major carnitine-dependent regulatory enzyme of lipid metabolism, required for the transport of long-chain fatty acids across the inner mitochondria membrane, were shown to be altered by dietary variables and suggest a metabolic need for supplemental carnitine in young pigs [5].

In animal cells and body fluids, carnitine can be found either as free carnitine, short-chain acyl carnitine, or long-chain acyl carnitine. About 20% of total carnitine in serum and muscle and liver are found as acyl carnitines [33]. In fact, acyl and free carnitine serum concentrations are 12.8 ± 7.4 and 67 ± 21.8 µmol/L, respectively [34] and circulating carnitine comprises only about 0.5% of body carnitine [35]. Animal tissues are characterised by comparatively high amounts of LC (from low µM to low mM), with the highest concentrations found in heart and skeletal muscles. In general, the carnitine concentrations are ~1 mM in rat skeletal muscle, ~3 mM in human muscle and up to 15 mM in ruminant muscle [36]. For example, the concentration of LC in fresh semitendinosus muscle from broiler chicken, pig, beef cattle, deer, horse and goat were 0.69, 1.09, 1.86-3.57, 4.57, 4.95 and 11.36 µmol/g wet weight, respectively [37]. In general, carnitine concentrations in tissues of pigs are markedly lower than those reported for humans [15]. For example, free carnitine levels in pig plasma at day 28 are shown to be 18.4 µmol/L and increased up to 37.7 µmol/L after 20 days LC dietary supplementation at 400 mg/day [38]. Plasma carnitine concentration is comparable with those of other antioxidants, including vitamin E (20-30 µM) and ascorbic acid (26.1-84.6 µM) [39]. In piglets at birth carnitine concentrations were reported by Chiodi et al. [4]. Indeed, in the egg yolk LC concentration was shown to be 4.64 nmol/g, while ALC was 3 times lower comprising 1.32 nmol/g. Furthermore, LC concentration in the egg albumin was extremely low - 0.029 nmol/g, while ALC was about three fold higher (0.102 nmol/g) [4]. It is interesting to note that LC supplementation improves the growth performance in light piglets of primiparous sows [43].

There is an intense exchange between the plasma and tissue carnitine pools. In fact, in tissues, carnitine can be acylated, transported back into plasma as acylcarnitine and eventually be excreted via the urine [44]. In fact, acetyl-L-carnitine (ALC), the short-chain ester of carnitine, is endogenously produced within mitochondria and peroxisomes and is involved in the transport of acetyl-moieties across the membranes of these organelles. It was shown that an increase in the plasma carnitine pool, without changing LC concentration in muscles, may be sufficient to influence certain metabolic pathways in skeletal muscle [44]. This is a very important finding explaining protective effects of LC in various stress conditions, including recovery after intense exercise [45]. Indeed, carnitine-supplemented exercise-stressed animals could achieve high physical performance with comparatively low metabolic perturbance [44].

Concentrations of LC and ALC change under altered dietary conditions. ALC is the most extensively investigated derivative of the carnitine formulations, largely due to its pharmacokinetic advantages such as reliable absorption and efficient transport [46]. It seems likely that there are species-specific differences in carnitine assimilation from the diet. For example, in contrast to reports in humans, ALC and propionyl-carnitine (PLC) showed no significant bioavailability as parent substance in mice [44]. Indeed, both acyl carnitines were hydrolysed before reaching the systemic circulation. Similarly, in pigs most orally administered ALC was hydrolysed before reaching the systemic circulation [9]. Comparison of data from various studies with mice, rats, pigs, cows, laying hens and human suggests that carnitine homeostasis is well conserved across different species [20].

First attempts to determine carnitine in chicken egg showed its level comprising < 3 µg/g dry tissue (approximately 0.678 µg/g wet tissue or 4.2 nmol/g) [47], and it was suggested that carnitine is synthesized in sizeable quantities in the growing chick embryo. Similar carnitine concentrations were reported by Chiodi et al. [4]. Indeed, in the egg yolk LC concentration was shown to be 4.64 nmol/g, while ALC was 3 times lower comprising 1.32 nmol/g. Furthermore, LC concentration in the egg albumin was extremely low - 0.029 nmol/g, while ALC was about three fold higher (0.102 nmol/g) [4]. It is interesting to note that at day 2 of embryonic development the carnitine values found in the chick embryos were represented by 12% free carnitine, 29% ALC and 59% long chain acylcarnitines. There is an opportunity to manipulate LC level in eggs. Indeed, LC dietary supplementation (125 mg/kg diet) was associated with a significant (approximately by
30%; from about 13 nmol/g wet yolk to about 17 nmol/g wet yolk, e.g. from 0.013 up to 0.017 mM) increase carnitine concentration in egg yolk [48]. Supplementation of the diets of young broiler breeder hens with 25 mg/kg of LC has been shown to increase LC concentrations in the yolk sacs and livers of 18-21 day chicks embryos and to subsequently influence yolk sac fatty acid β-oxidation [49]. Carnitine in eggs and embryonic tissues could affect chicken embryonic development and have some epigenetic effects such as a decrease in abdominal fat in the progeny [49] and decreased carcass fat and increased breast meat in those progeny fed high nutrient density diets [6]. Indeed, such a manipulation of LC level in egg represents an important model for further studies of health-promoting properties of carnitine in poultry production. In fact, free carnitine concentration in embryonic heart, brain and liver was found to be in a range of 2.98-5.84 µmol/g dry wt. and did not change between days 10 and 21 of the development [3]. The authors also showed that ALC was not detected in any organ until the 17th day of incubation and represented about 20% of the total carnitine in each organ on the day of hatch, while concentrations of long-chain acylcarnitine generally represented from 5 to 10% of the total carnitine in each case. In the embryonic liver total carnitine concentration was about 0.35 µmol/g dry wt. at days 11 and 18 and decreased down to about 0.2 µmol/g dry wt. at time of hatching and increased posthatch up to 0.75 µmol/g dry wt. at day 180 [50]. In terms of carnitine concentration on wet tissue basis it would represent about 50 nmol/g wet tissues at day 11, increasing up to 230 at day 18, decreasing down to 104 at hatch and increasing again up to 240 nmol/g wet tissues. It is interesting to underline that in muscles total carnitine concentration increased from about 0.6 up to 4 µmol/g dry wt. (from about 120 up to 900 nmol/g wet tissue). In the heart total carnitine concentration at time of hatching and at day 180 posthatch was about 90 and 235 nmol/g wet tissue, respectively, while in the brain it was about 66 and 57 nmol/g wet tissue [50]. In another study, carnitine concentration (nmol/mg non-collagenous protein) in chicken embryonic heart increased from about 1 up to 1.5 between days 7 and 17 with the following sharp decrease down to about 0.5 at time of hatching [51].

As LC is not regarded as an essential nutrient, no values for dietary reference intake or recommended daily allowance have been set. Main carnitine function in the body include [52]: a) transport of activated long-chain fatty acids from the cytosol to the mitochondrial matrix, where β-oxidation takes place; b) transfer of the products of peroxisomal β-oxidation, including acetyl-CoA, to the mitochondria for oxidation to CO₂ and H₂O in the Krebs cycle; c) modulation of the acyl-CoA/CoA ratio; d) storage of energy as acetyl-carnitine; e) modulation of toxic effects of poorly metabolized acyl groups by excreting them as carnitine esters. In addition, the preservation of membrane integrity and mitochondria functions as well as apoptosis inhibition is also important carnitine action [53]. Indeed, recent evidence suggests that LC and ALC supplementation can modulate the antioxidant systems responsible for attenuation of oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction associated to various pathological conditions [54].

Antioxidant Systems of the Cell and Whole Body

During evolution, living organisms have developed specific antioxidant protective mechanisms to deal with reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) [55]. Therefore, it is only the presence of natural antioxidants in living organisms which enable them to survive in an oxygen-rich environment [56]. The general term “antioxidant systems” describes these mechanisms, which are diverse and responsible for the protection of cells from the actions of free radicals. Therefore, there are three major levels of antioxidant defence building antioxidant systems of the living cell [57-64].

The first level of defence includes three antioxidant enzymes, namely superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and Catalase plus metal-binding proteins responsible for prevention of free radical formation by removing precursors of free radicals or by inactivating catalysts. However, because of huge number of ROS produced, the first level of antioxidant defence in the cell is not sufficient to completely prevent free radical formation. Therefore, the second level of defence consists of mainly chain-breaking antioxidants, such as vitamin E, ubiquinol, carotenoids, vitamin A, ascorbic acid, uric acid, and some other antioxidants. Glutathione (GSH) and thioredoxin systems play an important role in the second level of antioxidant defence. It is difficult to avoid any damages at the cellular level due to ROS and the third level of defence is based on systems that eliminate damaged molecules or repair them. The cooperative interactions between antioxidants and various signaling molecules in the cell are crucial for effective protection from the deleterious effects of free radicals and toxic products of their metabolism. Therefore, antioxidant systems of the living cells are responsible for maintenance of cell membrane integrity, effective cell signaling and adaptation to various stresses.

Antioxidant Action of Carnitine

The cytoprotective effects of carnitine in various stress conditions are believed to be due to a decrease in oxidative stress-related cell damages. Main antioxidant mechanisms of carnitine have been described recently [65] and here additional evidence will be provided to substantiate molecular mechanisms of antioxidant action of carnitine in vitro and in vivo.

Direct free radical scavenging

As can be seen from the data on carnitine absorption and metabolism presented above, it seems likely that it is difficult to achieve an effective carnitine concentration in target tissues to have direct AO effect. Therefore, the early studies in this area [66-69] were not successful. However, later publications [70-72] presented data indicating a possibility of the direct AO action of carnitine in physiologically relevant concentrations, but it seems likely that the main such action could be related to the gut [64], since LC concentration there could be quite high. Indeed, the antioxidant properties of carnitine in the gut need further elucidation and clearly there is a need for more research in this area.

Chelating properties of carnitine

It is necessary to take into account that iron and copper are powerful promoters of free radical reactions and therefore their availability in “catalytic” forms is carefully regulated in vivo [56]. Indeed, reactions of Fe⁺ and Cu⁺ with H₂O₂ are a source of the most powerful hydroxyl radical (·OH) in the Fenton reaction:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Cu}^+ \rightarrow \text{·OH} + \text{H}^+ + \text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Cu}^{2+} \]

Transition metal ions also accelerate the decomposition of lipid...
hydroperoxides into cytotoxic products such as aldehydes, alkoxyl radicals and peroxy radicals:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LOOH} + \text{Fe}^{2+} & \rightarrow \text{LO}^- + \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{LOOH} + \text{Fe}^{3+} & \rightarrow \text{LOO}^- + \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, organisms have evolved to keep transition metal ions safely sequestered in storage or transport proteins. In this way, the metal-binding proteins prevent formation of hydroxyl radical by preventing metals from participation in radical reactions. In addition, metal chelating is another process involved in prevention of Fe and Cu from participation in ROS formation. Therefore, metal chelating properties of carnitine [66,68,70] could contribute to its antioxidiant action. Indeed, Reznick et al. showed that L-Propionyl-L-Carnitine (PLC) at quite high concentration (75 mM) suppressed hydroxyl radical production in the Fenton system, probably by chelating the iron required for the generation of hydroxyl radicals [66]. The authors suggested that decrease in hydroxyl radical generation was due to chelating ability of PLC. However, in the same system, deferoxamine, a well-known chelating agent, was effective at 0.1 mM concentration indicating that PLA is mild iron chelator. Furthermore, in the systems based on iron-induced ascorbate oxidation only very modest chelating ability of PLC was seen at 10 mM concentration and no chelating by LC even at 100 mM was observed. In the same system deferoxamine was much more effective at 0.1 mM [66]. It is interesting to note that 14 years later quite strong chelating ability of LC was shown by using spectrophotometric assay with ferrozine, which can quantitatively form complexes with Fe\(^{3+}\) [70]. Therefore, in the presence of chelating agents, the complex formation is disrupted, resulting in a decrease in the red colour of the complex. In particular, it was shown that the metal chelating effects of LC was concentration-dependent between 5 to 30 µg/mL (approximately 0.03-0.19 mM). It is very important to note that the chelating ability of LC was comparable with that of EDTA, since LC exhibited 98.9% chelation of ferrous ion at 30 mg/mL (0.19 mM) concentration, while the percentages of metal chelating capacity of 30 µg/mL (approx. 0.1 mM) EDTA was found to be 80.7%. The chelating effect of LC is suggested to connect with the complex formation between the hydroxyl and carboxylate groups of LC molecule and metal ion [70]. Indeed, the discrepancy of chelating ability of LC obtained by Gulcin and Reznick et al. can be explained by different methodological approaches but, clearly, this issue needs further investigation using modern analytical techniques applied to various biological systems [66,70].

**Protective effects of carnitine on mitochondria**

It is well known that mitochondria are the primary cellular consumers of oxygen and contain numerous redox enzymes capable of transferring single electrons to oxygen, generating the ROS superoxide [73]. Furthermore, ROS induce protein modifications, lipid peroxidation and mitochondrial DNA damage, which ultimately results in mitochondrial dysfunction [74]. In recent years more and more attention has been paid to signaling role of ROS and their participation in cell adaptation to stress [75]. Our recent review on protective effects of carnitine on mitochondria [65] indicates that in the case of oxidative stress carnitine protects/repairs mitochondria by triggering pro-survival cell signaling. Indeed, LC and its derivatives can affect expression of a range of genes responsible for a synthesis of various proteins in the cell. In fact ALC prevented age-related changes in rat liver mitochondria, including maintenance of the antioxidant systems [76]. LC prevented free fatty acid induced oxidative stress [77], while ALC provided acetyl groups for protein acetylation and affected the amount of mitochondrial proteins [78]. Therefore, LC can protect against mitochondrial dysfunctions associated with oxidative stress caused by various damaging agents. Carnitine is shown to be a mitochondria-specific antioxidiant, responsible not only for mitochondria integrity maintenance but also for regulation of ROS production and ROS signaling. It could well be that LC can participate in crosstalk between mitochondria and various transcription factors, including Nrf2, a crucial element of adaptation to stress [79,80].

**Inhibition of free-radical generating enzymes by carnitine**

Our recent review [65] clearly showed that LC can inhibit main ROS-producing enzymes, namely xanthine oxidase (XO) and NADPH oxidase and in this way contributing to improved antioxidiant defences.

**In vitro antioxidant effects of carnitine**

Clear evidence of protective effect of carnitine against oxidative stress caused by various chemicals came from in vitro studies with cell culture, isolated cells or organelles. This includes human dermal fibroblasts [81], cerebellar granular cell culture [82], LDL [83], human hepatocytes [84], cultured porcine oocytes [85], neoblastoma cells [86] and neurons of newly born rats [87]. LC was also able to decrease DNA damage caused by toxicants in various experimental systems [88-90]. Effective LC concentrations showing antioxidiant protective effects were within the physiological range of LC concentrations varying from 9-25 µM [85], 30-100 µM [87], 0.1-1 mM [83,84,86,88] up to 1-10 mM [82]. Indeed, the aforementioned data confirmed antioxidiant action of carnitine in physiologically-relevant concentrations in various in vitro systems.

**Antioxidant effects of carnitine against oxidative stress in vivo: Protection against toxicants**

Protective effect of LC and its derivatives on the antioxidant systems of the body are shown in various models of oxidative stress/toxicity caused by CCl\(_4\) [91-93], cisplatin [94-96], ethanol [97-101], 3-Nitropropionic acid [102], valproate [103], diethylnitrosamine [104], doxorubicin [105], adriamycin [106], tamoxifen [107], indomethacin [108], acetaminophen [109], Cd [110], aflatoxin [111], thiocetamide [112], methotrexate [113], LC and its derivatives were also effective in decreasing oxidative stress caused by neurotoxic agents such as glutamate [114], quinolinic acid or 3-nitropropionic acid [115], rotenone [116], dexamethasone [117], aminoglycosides [118], scopolamine [119], 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) [120] and silver nano-particles [121].

Carnitine was also effective in prevention of oxidative stress in the L-buthionine-sulfoximine-induced cataract model [122], selenite-induced cataractogenesis [123,124], LPS-treated rats [125], high dietary copper in laying hens [126], high fat diet in rats [127], fructose-fed rats [128-131], experimental glaucoma [132], acetic acid-induced colitis [133,134] and atherosclerotic rats [135]. Furthermore, carnitine showed clear antioxidiant protective activities in caerulein-induced acute pancreatitis [136], adjuvant arthritis [137] and in hyperthyroid rats [138] as well as in a mouse model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis [139], in mice with familial amyotrophic lateral pattern, spastic paralysis, and hyperglycemia [140]. The main ROS-producing enzymes in these models are xanthine oxidase and glutathione peroxidase, respectively. Carnitine showed clear antioxidiant protective activities in vitro in cell culture, isolated cells or organelles. This includes human dermal fibroblasts [81], cerebellar granular cell culture [82], LDL [83], human hepatocytes [84], cultured porcine oocytes [85], neoblastoma cells [86] and neurons of newly born rats [87]. LC was also able to decrease DNA damage caused by toxicants in various experimental systems [88-90]. Effective LC concentrations showing antioxidiant protective effects were within the physiological range of LC concentrations varying from 9-25 µM [85], 30-100 µM [87], 0.1-1 mM [83,84,86,88] up to 1-10 mM [82]. Indeed, the aforementioned data confirmed antioxidiant action of carnitine in physiologically-relevant concentrations in various in vitro systems.

**Antioxidant effects of carnitine against oxidative stress in vivo: Protection against toxicants**

Protective effect of LC and its derivatives on the antioxidant systems of the body are shown in various models of oxidative stress/toxicity caused by CCl\(_4\) [91-93], cisplatin [94-96], ethanol [97-101], 3-Nitropropionic acid [102], valproate [103], diethylnitrosamine [104], doxorubicin [105], adriamycin [106], tamoxifen [107], indomethacin [108], acetaminophen [109], Cd [110], aflatoxin [111], thiocetamide [112], methotrexate [113], LC and its derivatives were also effective in decreasing oxidative stress caused by neurotoxic agents such as glutamate [114], quinolinic acid or 3-nitropropionic acid [115], rotenone [116], dexamethasone [117], aminoglycosides [118], scopolamine [119], 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) [120] and silver nano-particles [121].

Carnitine was also effective in prevention of oxidative stress in the L-buthionine-sulfoximine-induced cataract model [122], selenite-induced cataractogenesis [123,124], LPS-treated rats [125], high dietary copper in laying hens [126], high fat diet in rats [127], fructose-fed rats [128-131], experimental glaucoma [132], acetic acid-induced colitis [133,134] and atherosclerotic rats [135]. Furthermore, carnitine showed clear antioxidiant protective activities in caerulein-induced acute pancreatitis [136], adjuvant arthritis [137] and in hyperthyroid rats [138] as well as in a mouse model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis [139], in mice with familial amyotrophic lateral pattern, spastic paralysis, and hyperglycemia [140]. The main ROS-producing enzymes in these models are xanthine oxidase and glutathione peroxidase, respectively. Carnitine showed clear antioxidiant protective activities in vitro in cell culture, isolated cells or organelles. This includes human dermal fibroblasts [81], cerebellar granular cell culture [82], LDL [83], human hepatocytes [84], cultured porcine oocytes [85], neoblastoma cells [86] and neurons of newly born rats [87]. LC was also able to decrease DNA damage caused by toxicants in various experimental systems [88-90]. Effective LC concentrations showing antioxidiant protective effects were within the physiological range of LC concentrations varying from 9-25 µM [85], 30-100 µM [87], 0.1-1 mM [83,84,86,88] up to 1-10 mM [82]. Indeed, the aforementioned data confirmed antioxidiant action of carnitine in physiologically-relevant concentrations in various in vitro systems.
sclerosis [140], streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats [141,142], ifosfamide-induced Fanconi syndrome [143], chemically induced model of maple syrup urine disease [144] and unilateral urethral obstruction-induced oxidative stress [145,146].

**Antioxidant effects of carnitine against oxidative stress in vivo: Established clinical models**

The possibility of modulating with natural antioxidants the progression of various diseases with ROS species-related pathogenesis has been widely discussed in the literature. It has been established that nutritional antioxidants play an important role in decreasing detrimental consequences of the oxidative stress-related pathologies. Therefore, several lines of evidence from animal experiments and clinical studies exist indicating that LC supplementation is effective in preventing oxidative stress under various pathological conditions.

**Hypoxia:** Hypoxia can occur under both physiological (e.g., exercise, embryonic development, high altitude, etc.) and pathological conditions (e.g., inflammation, solid tumour formation, lung disease, myocardial infarction, etc.). Substantial evidence indicates increased oxidative stress under moderately hypoxic conditions [147-149] with a specific role for the functional respiratory chain in the generation of ROS. Indeed, under conditions of oxygen deficiency, oxygen molecules in the mitochondrial respiratory chain can accept only one electron, instead of four under normal conditions, producing superoxide anion radical [150]. In such conditions various antioxidants, including carnitine, are shown to be beneficial. For example, carnitine (400 mg/kg b.w., orally) showed a protective effect against intermittent hypobaric hypoxia-induced oxidative stress in rat erythrocytes indicative by reduction of MDA and increase in protein sulphydryls [151]. LC (100 mg/kg b.w.) significantly decreased the levels of the MDA and carbonylated proteins and significantly increased the serum antioxidant capacity (GSH) in rats exposed to hypobaric hypoxia [152]. Rats pre-treated with LC had lower TBARS levels after the exposure to hypobaric hypoxia and the protective effect of LC was comparable with the effect of α-tocopherol [153]. Supplementation with ALC (75 mg/kg b.w., orally) improves spatial working memory deficits, reduces oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation and inhibits apoptotic cascade induced by hypoxia [154] with a concomitant increase in thioredoxin and GSH levels in the hippocampal neurons [155]. While hypoxia itself can be recognised as conditionally a cause of injury, it is the transition from hypoxia to normoxia (hypoxia-reoxygenation or ischaemia-reperfusion) that causes the most cell damage and tissue failure, known as reperfusion injury [150].

**Ischemia-reperfusion:** Ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury is a complex biological process involving compromised antioxidant defences, oxidative stress and cell death. Indeed, I/R injury is directly related to the formation of ROS, endothelial cell injury, increased vascular permeability, and the activation of neutrophils and platelets, cytokines, and the complement system [156,157]. Because of the strong evidence for the importance of ROS in I/R injury, a number of different intervention strategies have been successfully used in multiple models of ischemia with reperfusion. Furthermore, various nutritional antioxidants have been tested as a means of decreasing oxidative stress and detrimental consequences of I/R injury [158] and protective role of carnitine also received substantial attention. For example, LC pre-treatment (100 mg/kg, i.v.) of rats exposed to gastric I/R increased the tissue catalase activity to the levels of sham-operated rats [159]. Similarly, LC (100-500 mg/kg, i.p.) is shown to protect rat kidney tissue against I/R injury, decrease lipid peroxidation [160] and prevented SOD activity decrease [161]. LC (500 mg/kg, i.p.) showed a protective effect on testicular I/R injury in rats [162] via activation of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, GSH-Px, Catalase) and increased expression of HSP70 [163]. The protective effects of exogenous LC (200 mg/kg, i.v.) on lipid peroxidation in plasma and liver tissue in an experimental warm hepatic I/R injury model in rats were also shown [164,165]. LC was also proven to have a protective effect against oxidative stress resulted from I/R of heart [166] and brain [167]. Therefore, from the data presented above it is clear that LC and its derivatives have antioxidant protective effects in hypoxia and I/R injury indicative by preservation of AO enzyme activities as well as maintaining levels of small molecular weight antioxidants (GSH).

**Ionizing radiation:** Ionizing radiation (IR) was reported to compromise antioxidant defences and generate excessive amount of ROS. Indeed, IR is responsible for cellular damage and impaired intracellular homeostasis and intracellular signaling system through the damage of cellular macromolecules including DNA, protein, and lipid [168,169]. Natural antioxidants, including carnitine, have been extensively studied for radioprotective activity in various model systems. For example, LC supplementation (200 mg/kg b.w./day, i.p.) was associated with decreased MDA levels and increased SOD and Catalase activities in the plasma of gamma-irradiated rats [170]. LC administration (100 mg/kg b.w./day) significantly decreased the MDA level and increased the activity of SOD and GSH-Px enzymes in lenses of gamma-irradiated rats [171]. Administration of LC (200 mg/kg b.w./day) to rats receiving 15 Gy external radiotherapy significantly reduced the severity of brain and retinal damages and decreased the MDA levels and increased the activity of SOD and Catalase in the brain [172]. LC (1.5 mg/kg/day, i.p.) has protective effects against 2.45 GHz-induced blood lipid peroxidation in rats indicative by increased GSH and GSH-Px in erythrocytes [173]. LC showed a protective effect on the 2.45 GHz-induced lipid peroxidation in cortex brain of rats [174]. LC (200 mg/kg b.w.) administered prior to the irradiation reduced the severity of mucosal damage and prevented fall in tissue total sulphydryl levels in rat ileum [175]. It seems likely that ALC is as effective as LC. Indeed, administration of ALC (250 mg/kg, i.p.) to rats for 5 consecutive days resulted in a significant increase in the activities of both SOD and GSH-Px and the level of GSH in lung and liver tissues which were reduced by gamma-irradiation treatment [176]. Furthermore, pre-treatment with ALC (250 mg/kg, i.p.) of rats prevented the radiation-induced increase in plasma MDA [177]. From the data presented above it is clear that LC and ALC have antioxidant protective effect against oxidative stress caused by irradiation.

**Spontaneously hypertensive rats:** The spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) has been widely used for the last 50 years as an animal model of hypertension and accompanying metabolic disturbances. A great body of evidence accumulated for the last 20 years clearly indicates that the increased oxidative stress and inadequate activity of endogenous antioxidant defences are the main pathogenic mechanisms for the development of hypertension-induced damage of target organs [178]. Therefore, dietary antioxidants, including carnitine, are among important elements to

ISSN: 2325-4645
decrease negative consequences of the oxidative stress in SHR. For example, LC (200 mg/kg b.w. in drinking water) was shown to prevent lipid peroxidation and GSH-Px activity decrease in liver and cardiac tissues of SHR [179]. Similarly, treatment with LC in the same dose leads to an increase in hepatic and cardiac antioxidant defence (GSH/GSSG ratio, GSH-Px activity and total antioxidant potential) and ameliorated oxidative stress in liver, heart and plasma (TBARS) of SHR [180]. LC or PLC (200 mg/kg b.w. in drinking water) decreased basal and NADPH-stimulated O$_2^-$ production in SHR toward values observed in normotensive rats [181] and improved endothelial function through SOD-dependent mechanisms [182]. LC (300 mg/kg b.w. in drinking water) augmented the antioxidant defence capacity in SHRs. This effect was mediated by an upregulation of antioxidant enzymes, an increase in plasma total antioxidant capacity and a reduction of lipid peroxidation and superoxide anion production in the heart [183]. The authors suggested that the molecular regulation of antioxidant enzymes through an inhibition of the renin-angiotensin system and a modulation of the NF-kB/keB system to be responsible for this antioxidant effect. After the chronic administration of LC (300 mg/kg b.w. in drinking water for 12 weeks), the activities of GSH-Px, GR, and SOD increased significantly in the erythrocytes of both SHR and L-NAME-treated rats, reaching in some cases values similar to those obtained in the corresponding control, normotensive animals [184,185]. In hypertensive rats LC (400 mg/kg b.w.) improves the oxidative stress response through a specific modulation of NF-kB, Nf2, and PPARa transcription factors [186]. Therefore, in SHR or L-NAME-treated rats LC was shown to be effective in improving antioxidant defences by upregulating antioxidant enzymes and affecting redox signaling.

**Exercise:** It has been shown that regular moderate training is beneficial for animal and human health. Conversely, acute exercise leads to compromised antioxidant defences and increased oxidative stress and supporting endogenous defences with additional oral antioxidant supplementation may represent a suitable non-invasive tool for preventing or reducing oxidative stress during training [187]. In skeletal muscle, ROS and NRS are physiologically synthesized at low levels and are required for normal force production. However, when ROS production overtakes tissue antioxidant protection, oxidative stress takes place activating pathophysiologic signaling leading to proteolysis and apoptosis within the myofibers [188]. In this regard, carnitine received substantial attention. For example, LC supplementation (0.5 % of dry diet) decreases oxidative stress in exercised rats by reducing lipid peroxidation, redistributing GSH from liver to blood and muscle and increasing GSH-Px activity [189] and increasing SOD, GSH-Px and Catalase activities in the liver and skeletal muscles [190]. LC administration (300 mg/kg) to rats prevented reduction in total antioxidant activity and protein concentration induced by forced swimming [191]. In young rats submitted to exhaustive exercise stress LC treatment (5 mg/kg for 7 days) increased the renal levels of GSH and decreased lipid peroxidation [192]. LC applied at a 3 g dose (via glass of fruit juice) provides strong antioxidant action by increasing the GSH and NOx level and decreasing the TBARS level after exhaustive exercise in young soccer players [193]. Two-week daily oral supplementation of LC has alleviating effects on lipid peroxidation and muscle damage markers following an acute bout of exercise in active healthy young men [194]. Indeed, antioxidant action of LC in exercise models was clearly shown.

**Renal failure and drug-induced nephrotoxicity:** In recent years, oxidative stress has been identified to contribute to drug-induced liver, heart, renal and brain toxicity [195] and improvement of antioxidant defences is a key for prevention of such detrimental effects. Firstly, LC (500 mg/kg, i.p.) decreased oxidative stress by prevention of reductions in renal GSH levels as well as plasma SOD, Catalase, and GSH-Px activities, and prevented increases in kidney lipid peroxidation (MDA) in rats with chronic renal failure [196]. Secondly, LC significantly ameliorates drug-induced nephrotoxicity and inhibited ROS generation, lipid peroxidation and apoptosis [118]. In fact, LC treatment (100-200 mg/kg, i.p.) improves antioxidant defences (increased SOD, GSH-Px, Catalase and GSH in the kidney tissue) and protects against functional, biochemical and morphological damage and iron accumulation in glycerol-induced myoglobinuric acute renal failure in rats [197,198]. Similarly, LC (200 mg/kg, i.p.) is shown to prevent the development of isoflavamide-induced nephrotoxicity via downregulation of oxidative stress (GSH-Px and Catalase activities) and nitrosative apoptotic signaling (caspase-9 and caspase-3) in kidney tissues [143]. In rats with contrast media-induced nephropathy LC (500 mg/kg, i.p.) increased GSH in renal, spleen and lung tissues, while MDA concentration decreased in renal, liver and lung tissues and Catalase activity increased in the spleen [199]. LC (500 mg/kg, i.m.) also had a partial protective effect against renal tissue damage after experimental pyelonephritis by increasing Catalase activity and alleviating oxidative stress [200]. Fructose-fed rats exhibited increased levels of peroxidation end products, diminished antioxidant status, increased 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, 2,4-dinitrophenol and 3-nitrotyrosine protein adducts and lipid accumulation in kidney. LC administration (300 mg/kg) attenuated these pathological renal alterations in rats caused by fructose-induced metabolic syndrome including increased level of peroxidation end products and diminished AO status [129]. PLC (250 mg/kg, i.p.) was also effective by decreasing isoflavamide-induced oxidative stress and restored GSH level in cardiac tissues to the control values [201]. Therefore, LC has a substantial antioxidant protective effect against oxidative stress caused by renal failure and drug-induced nephrotoxicity.

**Ageing model:** Oxidative stress from increased production of ROS has been identified as a major contributing factor to ageing [202]. Reduction of molecular oxygen through electron leakage within the electron transport chain or other cellular sources leads to the generation of highly reactive ROS including the superoxide anion, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radical, peroxyl radicals and other minor species [203]. This relates to decreased antioxidant defences and oxidative stress in aged animals. Therefore, a comparison between young and aged animals became an important model for understanding antioxidant system regulation in the body. Indeed, it was shown, that aged rats underwent significant perturbation of the antioxidant defence system, including depletion of GSH content, decreased AO enzyme activities, increased lipid peroxidation in various tissues. It is interesting to note that more than 10 years ago it was found that such AO system modifications were enhanced by long-term alcohol exposure and they were significantly reduced with ALC supplements [204]. Similarly, long-term supplementation with ALC significantly reduced GSH depletion in the brain regions.
of hippocampus and ALC treatment increased GR and arginase activities [205]. Supplementation of LC (300 mg/kg b.w., i.p.) to aged (24-month-old) rats improved the antioxidant status (GSH, ascorbate and vitamin E; [206,207]; SOD and GSH-Px activities [208], Catalase and GR [209] of various rat brain regions in a duration dependent manner. LC (300 mg/kg b.w, i.p.) has also inhibiting effect on the accumulation of age-related oxidative DNA damage in rat brain cerebral cortex, striatum and hippocampus [210] or heart [211]. LC supplementation (150 mg/kg b.w in drinking water) to aged rats was associated with a decrease protein carbonyl, 3-nitrotyrosine and protein-bound HNE levels in various brain regions [212] and suppressed the oxidation of methionine residues [213]. It is quite obvious that carnitine has rejuvenating effect on aged rats by restoring activity of antioxidant enzymes, improving vitamin E and C status and restoring mitochondria integrity and functions.

Antioxidant effects of carnitine against oxidative stress in vivo: Patients with various diseases

Promising antioxidant activities of carnitine have been found following supplementation in patients with cardiovascular diseases such as peripheral arterial disease, chronic heart failure, or stable angina [214], coronary artery disease patients [215,216], ischemic cardiomyopathy [217], renal disease with hemodialysis [218,219], multiple sclerosis [220], mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer’s disease [221], age related macular degeneration [222], disorders of propionate metabolism [223], major surgery [224], alcoholic steatohepatitis [225], phenylketonuria [226,227] and MSUD patients [228-230]. In a recent meta-analysis 74 reports testing carnitine and its derivatives were considered, including 18 trials related to kidney disease with success ratio 0.58. Furthermore, trials with other diseases were more successful with success ratio to be 1, including 13 reports on diabetes trials, 9 - heart and vessel disease, 6 - liver disease, 9 - neurological diseases and 6 - genetic diseases [231].

It is also interesting to mention that carnitine supplementation can be beneficial in healthy subjects as well. For example, single dose administration of LC (2.0 g, orally) was shown to improve antioxidant activities in healthy subjects. Indeed, there was a gradual increase in plasma concentrations of SOD, GSH-Px, Catalase and total antioxidative capacity (T-AOC) in the first 3.5 h following LC administration. Furthermore, a positive correlation was found between LC concentration and the antioxidant index of SOD (r = 0.992, P < 0.01), GSH-Px (r = 0.932, P < 0.01), catalase (r = 0.972, P < 0.01) or T-AOC (r = 0.934, P < 0.01; [232].

Conclusions

Antioxidant properties of carnitine have been demonstrated in vitro and in vivo using various model systems as well as clinical observations in patients with various diseases. In the aforementioned in vitro and in vivo studies, the antioxidant properties of LC and its derivatives are demonstrated by:

- restoration of the endogenous AO enzymes (SOD, Catalase, GSH-Px, GR and GST) and non-enzymatic antioxidants (vitamins E and C) in the liver and other tissues of stressed animals;
- increased intracellular concentration of GSH in liver and other tissues;
- decreased lipid and protein oxidation, detected as reduced MDA/TBARS and carbonyl content;
- decreased DNA fragmentation/damage and apoptosis;
- reduced secretion of ALT, AST, ALP, γ-GT from the liver;
- reduced secretion of ALT, AST, ALP, γ-GT from the liver;
- increased intracellular concentration of GSH in liver and other tissues;
- reduced secretion of ALT, AST, ALP, γ-GT from the liver;
- increased intracellular concentration of GSH in liver and other tissues;
- reduced secretion of ALT, AST, ALP, γ-GT from the liver;
- increased intracellular concentration of GSH in liver and other tissues.

Indeed, antioxidant protection provided by carnitine was significant and the aforementioned studies consistently demonstrated that LC and its derivatives supplementation exert strong antioxidant and tissue-protecting effects independent of the model used (Figure 1). It seems likely that in biological system in vivo all the aforementioned mechanisms are involved and their interactions provide an important place for carnitine to be a crucial part of the integrated antioxidant systems of the animal and human body. Taking into account low carnitine content in grains and poultry and pig diet formulations with limited amounts of animal proteins, carnitine requirement and possible inadequacy in commercial poultry and pig diet formulations should receive more attention. Furthermore, protective roles of carnitine in stress conditions of commercial poultry and pig production are of great physiological and economical importance. Therefore, a development of carnitine-containing antioxidant compositions seems an important way forward in decreasing detrimental consequence of various stresses in poultry and pig production.
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