Evaluation of the SERM Ormeloxifene in the Treatment of Uterine Fibroid in the Reproductive Age Group
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Abstract

Background: The search for the ideal drug for medical treatment of fibroids is on. SERMs appear to be novel agents for hormonal manipulations. Ormeloxifene with biweekly dosing and minimum side effects needs evaluation for the above.

Method: The study was a prospective clinical observation undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of ormeloxifene in uterine fibroid in reproductive age group. Forty four (44) women aged 20-50 year age group who attended the outpatient gynaecology department, diagnosed with fibroid uterus; symptomatic or asymptomatic were recruited for the study. Ormeloxifene 60 mg was given orally twice a week for 6 months. Follow up was done at monthly interval and at the end of 6 months clinically and by USG. The primary outcome measures were fibroid size, uterine size and delta size. Secondary outcomes were measurement of menstrual blood loss using the PBAC score, hemoglobin concentration and endometrial thickness.

Results: No significant changes were observed in the leiomyoma, uterine and delta size. 90.9% fibroids remained unchanged at the end of 6 months. The difference in mean hemoglobin concentration, PBAC scores and endometrial thickness were statistically significant (P<0.001). Hysterectomy was required in 5(11.36%) cases.

Conclusion: Ormeloxifene does not reduce fibroid size but prevents further growth of the tumour and significantly reduces menstrual blood loss in standard doses with minimal side effects for a short period of time.

Introduction

Uterine leiomyomas are the most common benign uterine tumours affecting around 20-25% women in the fertile age group [1]. The pathogenesis of the tumour is still not well understood. Hence, medical management of this condition is still in its nascent stage making surgery-(myomectomy or hysterectomy) the treatment of choice.

It has now been postulated that a genetic mutation leading to an alteration of intra- tumorigenic estrogen metabolism might be responsible in the pathogenesis. There is an increased transcription and expression of Estrogen Receptors (ER) in the myoma as compared to normal myometrium. Progesterone receptors have also been demonstrated in myomas [2-4].

Thus both estrogen and progesterone play an important role in tumor growth and maintenance [2,5,6] and it is intuitive to assume that therapeutic hormonal manipulation affecting estrogen and progesterone may be effective in medical management of fibroids.

Many drugs such as Oral Contraceptive Pills (OCPs) [7,8] gestrinone [9], danazol [10], mifepristone [11,12], ulipristal [13] and GnRH analogues [14,15] have been tried in clinical trials. But, despite achieving a modest reduction of fibroid size and clinical improvements in menorrhagia and dysmenorrhea, these have not become popular. This is due to different disadvantages of the agents used such as need for daily use of OCPs, severe side effects of gestrinone and danazol, endometrial hyperplasia of prolonged use of mifepristone or ulipristal [14] and occurrence of menopausal symptoms and bone loss in GnRH analogues which have to be used parenterally. Therefore these drugs are conventionally not considered for first line of management of leiomyomas.

Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators (SERMS) that selectively bind to estrogen receptors and act as estrogen agonists in some tissues and estrogen antagonists in others seem an attractive agent for medical management of fibroids.

Preclinical studies [16] have confirmed that SERMS (tamoxifen, raloxifene) reduce myoma size by 40-60% in rats. Clinical studies [11,17,18] have also shown that raloxifene significantly reduces mean uterine and leiomyoma size.

These initial success reports prompted the use of another SERM -ormeloxifene in uterine leiomyomas. This drug has already been approved for use as a contraceptive pill [19] and in treatment of menorrhagia [20]. Its role in uterine fibroids is yet to be explored [21]. Ormeloxifene is a third generation SERM which antagonizes the effect of estrogen on uterine and breast tissue and stimulates its effect on vagina, bone, cardiovascular and central nervous system [22]. Thus, when used in perimenopausal women it does not cause uterine stimulation, prevents bone loss, does not increase the risk of breast cancer, lowers cholesterol level and maintains cognitive function of the brain. It has the additional advantage of reducing premenstrual symptoms, mastalgia and dysmenorrhea. It is cheap with a long half-life, allowing biweekly/weekly dosing. It has minimum side effects [23] in the form of nausea, dyspepsia and rarely cystic ovaries. Ormeloxifene has an edge over raloxifene (the commonest SERM studied so far in fibroids) in having a longer half-life allowing a less
frequent dosing schedule, having a better metabolic control and in the absence of the distressing antiestrogenic effects such as hot flushes and vaginal dryness. Thus, ormeloxifene is possibly useful in fibroids with good tolerability.

The primary objective of our study was to evaluate changes if any in the size of the leiomyoma following treatment with ormeloxifene. The secondary objectives were to study its effect on menorrhagia.

Methods

This is a prospective study of 24 weeks duration on 44 women with uterine fibroid, who attended the out-patient department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Medical College, Kolkata over a period of one year from June 2012 to May 2013.

After getting approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee, healthy women between 20-50 years of age with single or multiple fibroids were included consecutively in the study if they were symptomatic (menorrhagia, dysmenorrhoea, abdominal lump, dull aching lower abdominal pain, dyspareunia) or if the largest fibroid was >3 cm on ultrasound.

Exclusion criteria were malignancies, metabolic, haematological, renal or liver diseases, more than 20 wk gravid uterine size, fibroids >15 cm by ultrasound, grade-0 fibroids, suspected adenomyosis, current genital infection, endometrial hyperplasia with atypia, hormonal medication within 3 months, women desiring pregnancy and hypersensitivity to the drug.

After taking informed consent all the women were prescribed ormeloxifene 60 mg twice a week for 6 months, after which they were re-evaluated. During the study, all women agreed to use barrier contraception. Follow up was done at 3 months and 6 months or earlier if necessary.

The primary outcome measures were fibroid size, uterine size, delta size and endometrial thickness. Secondary outcomes assessed were endometrial thickness, menstrual blood loss (PBAC score) and hemoglobin concentration.

Table 1: The age and parity distribution of the subjects (n=44).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Yrs</th>
<th>number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow up 3 months

N=48, 2 patients lost to follow-up

Follow up 6 months

N=44, 4 patients lost to follow-up

Figure 1: Flow chart showing the flow of patients.

On the basis of a previous study [26] the sample size was calculated to be of 30 subjects to detect an effect on the size of 1 sd with an α value of 0.05 (two-sided) and a power 1-δ of 0.8.

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 9.0 package. Data were expressed as mean±sd. Paired t test was used to evaluate differences between uterine and leiomyoma sizes, Δ size, endometrial thickness, PBAC scores and haemoglobin levels at entry and after six months of treatment. The difference between the two proportions of the nominal data was analyzed by Z-test. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results

A total of 50 patients were recruited and followed up at the Gynecologic outpatient department after taking informed consent. Only 44 patients completed the full 6 months treatment. Figure 1 shows the details of patients excluded, recruited and treated.

The age and parity distribution is computed in Table 1. The mean age of the patients were 34.34±6.45 (20-50) years and parity 2 (0-4). All the patients were of reproductive age group with regular cycles. Only 2 patients were of 45 years of age. 25% women were above the age of 40 years. There were only 2 (4.5%) nulliparous women.

The characteristics and clinical presentation of the fibroids are summarised in Table 2. 75% were solitary fibroids, 79.55% were intramural and only 11.36% were asymptomatic.

The volume of the fibroids mostly remained unaltered at the end of 6 months (90.9%) and very few (9.09%) increased in size. None of the fibroids reduced in size (Figure 2).

The outcome of treatment with ormeloxifene with regard to effect on fibroid volume, uterine volume, delta size, endometrial thickness and menstrual blood loss (PBAC and haemoglobin levels) at the end of 6 months has been summarized in Table 3.

The drug did not affect the fibroid volume (P=0.074). It did not alter the volume of the normal myometrium i.e the delta volume (P=0.06). Thus, there was no statistically significant change in the uterine volume (P=0.054). However, ormeloxifene significantly reduced the endometrial thickness (P<0.001) and achieved significant lower PBAC score (P<0.001) after 6 months of therapy. In 10/44 (22.72%) patients there was amenorrhoea after 6 months. There was also statistically significant improvement in haemoglobin levels (P<0.001).

There were no major side effects. Mild nausea and vomiting were reported in 59.09% of subjects but it was not significant enough to stop the therapy.

At the end of the study 5 patients (11.36%) underwent hysterectomy. This included the 4 patients in whom fibroid size increased and 1 patient who insisted on the operation due to persisting pain in lower abdomen.

Discussion

A safe yet effective medical therapy which could avoid surgical procedures in uterine fibroids is the need of the day. With various drugs being researched for the purpose, SERMs notably ormeloxifene with a convenient biweekly regimen and selective antiestogenic effect on endometrium and breast could be an effective treatment modality.

Our study showed that when prescribed for a short period of 6 months in uterine fibroids ormeloxifene significantly reduces mean blood loss by significantly decreasing the endometrial thickness without significantly affecting the mean fibroid volume, uterine or delta size.

The mean pretreatment fibroid volume was 22.81±36.03 cc. And the mean post treatment fibroid volume was 25.69±42.44 cc. The WMD 95% CI being -2.88 (-6.05 to 0.29). The P value being 0.074 was not significant. The volume of fibroids remained unchanged in 90.9% women at the end of treatment. This is similar to a study with another SERM, raloxifene used in the dose of 180 mg/day for 6 cycles, where 86.7% fibroids remained static [18]. This suggests that though SERMs did not decrease fibroid size they prevented further fibroid growth.

In another study with Raloxifene (180 mg/d) a significant reduction in fibroid volume with a mean Weighted Difference...
Ormeloxifene binds with both ER subtypes, with more selectivity and higher affinity towards ERα (8.8%) as compared to ERβ (3%) [34]. Hence, as ERα receptor activity is low in fibroids ormeloxifene cannot reduce its size by antiestrogenic effect. However, it effectively reduces the endometrial thickness where good numbers of ERα receptors are present.

The main limitation of our study was its short duration whereby clinical and anthropometric improvements were not evident. The absence of double blind placebo controlled method to eliminate information bias was not possible as we did not want to deny anybody treatment. Moreover, only standard 60 mg biweekly dose of the drug was studied- possibly too low a dosage to reduce or revert the proliferative effect of serum E2 in normal ovulatory women. Also it was not possible to stratify patients according to fibroid size and response.

The strength of our study is that in our knowledge this is probably one of the earliest studies on the effect of the SERM ormeloxifene on fibroids with adequate power (>80%). There are many studies on raloxifene and tamoxifen on fibroid but no studies with ormeloxifene [21] with the above study design.

However, it is intuitive that larger studies of bigger sample sizes and with different dosing schedules of ormeloxifene may open up a completely different strategy of medical therapy of uterine fibroids.

Conclusion

SERM such as ormeloxifene in standard biweekly dosage is effective in preventing further growth of uterine fibroids and reduces menstrual blood loss when prescribed for a short period of 6 months. Thus, it may be used as an interim treatment to delay operation especially in patients who need improvement in general condition. The drug does not have significant side effects and is available at a low cost. However, it role as the sole medical method in fibroid management needs to be assessed by more robust studies in future.
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