Laparoscopic vs Open Excision of Urachal Remnants in Children

Abstract

Introduction: A urachal remnant forms when the allantois fails to completely obliterate. This results in a spectrum of anomalies. It is generally accepted that urachal remnants should be excised. Traditionally, excision has been performed via an open umbilical approach. Recently, minimally invasive techniques have been applied toward the correction of urachal anomalies. However, there are few studies in the literature comparing open and laparoscopic excision in adults and none in children.

Materials and methods: We performed a retrospective chart review of all patients undergoing open or laparoscopic excision of a urachal remnant in a single institution from June 2007 to January 2013.

Results: There were 4 patients in the laparoscopic group and 10 in the open excision group. There was no difference in age at surgery between the two groups. There was no difference in operative time (laparoscopic: 66.75 min vs open: 57.2 min, p-value 0.50). There was a trend toward increased cost and longer post-operative length of stay in the laparoscopic group ($60594.05 vs $40454.83; 43.25 hours vs 9 hours) but neither was statistically significant (p-values 0.11 and 0.058, respectively). There were no complications in either group.

Discussion: Laparoscopic excision of urachal remnants is a safe and effective alternative to open excision. In addition, the laparoscopic approach allows for excellent visualization of the entire urachal tract. Although patients undergoing laparoscopic excision had potentially longer hospital stays, this did not necessarily translate into higher costs.

Introduction

A urachal remnant forms when the allantois, the embryologic connection between the bladder and the umbilicus, fails to completely obliterate. This represents a spectrum of disease that includes urachal cyst, urachal sinus, patent urachus, and vesicourachal diverticulum [1]. The type of anomaly predicts the presenting symptoms. A patent urachus will generally present with clear drainage from the umbilicus while urachal cysts will generally present with infection [1-4]. Urachal anomalies are also associated with hypospadias, mental stenosis, vesicoureteral reflux, and ureteropelvic obstruction [5]. They can also undergo malignant degeneration; however, this accounts for less than 1% of bladder neoplasms [6].

Given the risk of recurrent infection and malignancy, it is generally accepted that urachal remnants should be excised once discovered [1,3-6]. The procedure has historically been performed using an open technique. The laparoscopic approach was introduced to the pediatric population in 1995 [2] and has been deemed safe and effective [2,5,7]. While there are several case reports of laparoscopic excision of urachal remnants [2,7], there are few studies comparing open and laparoscopic excision in adults [8,9] and none in children. Unfortunately, urachal anomalies are rare enough, affecting only about 1 in 5000 live births [2], that a randomized, prospective study would be difficult to conduct.

The purpose of this study is to perform a retrospective comparison of the open and laparoscopic techniques at our institution.

Materials and Methods

After approval by the Institutional Review Board (protocol # 1303001965), we conducted a retrospective chart review of all patients undergoing open or laparoscopic excision of a urachal remnant by one of five different board certified pediatric surgeons at St. Christopher’s Hospital for Children, a free-standing children’s hospital, from June 2007 to January 2013. Chosen operative technique was based on surgeon preference. We collected the following data for each patient: age, length of stay, gender, presentation, type of procedure performed, operative time, total hospital expenses, and any complications. Means and standard deviations were calculated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). P-values and standard deviation were calculated using GraphPad InStat 3 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

We performed the laparoscopic approach in a similar fashion to previously described techniques. We utilized three ports: one at the umbilicus and two along the left side of the abdomen. Dissection of the urachal remnant is carried out using electrocautery and the ligation is performed using an endoloop. The umbilicus was not excised.

Results

There were 4 patients in the laparoscopic group and 10 in the open excision group. Table 1 shows the comparisons between the two groups. There was no difference in age at surgery between the two groups (4.38 vs 3.58 years, p-value 0.82). Five patients presented with infection. Four presented with drainage from the umbilicus. Two patients had chronic abdominal pain, and another two had umbilical granulomas. The final patient was diagnosed prenatally. Dissection of the urachal remnant was carried out using electrocautery and the ligation is performed using an endoloop. The umbilicus was not excised.

Discussion

Laparoscopic excision of urachal remnants is a safe and effective alternative to open excision.
alternative to open excision. In addition, the laparoscopic approach allows for excellent visualization of the entire urachal tract and bladder. This may allow for a more complete excision and a lower risk for future malignancy. Some argue that the lateral laparoscopic approach can even be utilized during acute umbilical infection, while the open approach during an acute infection is associated with significant morbidity [5,10]. As with all laparoscopic procedures, there is the potential for more rapid recovery, improved cosmesis, and less postoperative pain. Although these have not been specifically addressed in our study, Masuko et al. felt that the laparoscopic approach offered good cosmetic outcomes [11].

We feel that urachal remnants in children can safely be managed with a laparoscopic approach based on our retrospective review. We were very fortunate in that none of our patients experienced a complication. While this is also the case in most studies, Naiditch et al. found a post-operative complication rate of 14.7% [12]. Because of this and other studies which show that some urachal remnants do spontaneously resolve, there is a question as to whether or not urachal remnants can be managed conservatively [13]. Ideally, a randomized, prospective study with a larger number of subjects should be done to answer this question. However, given the rare occurrence of this entity, it is unlikely that an appropriately powered prospective trial comparing the two procedures can be done.
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