An Eight-Year Case Control Study Identifying Predictors of Adenoid Recurrence
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Abstract

Objectives: To determine the rate of revision adenoidectomy and to identify the risk factors associated with adenoid recurrence. In addition, we reviewed preoperative lateral neck x-ray to see if adenoid size measurements could predict recurrence.

Design: A 1:1 matched retrospective case-control study.

Results: The rate of revision adenoidectomy was 2.74%. The mean age at first adenoidectomy was significantly lower in the revision adenoidectomy group. There was a strong association between adenoidectomy without tonsillectomy and repeated adenoidectomy. In addition, a diagnosis of OSA and other medical disorders including bronchial asthma and allergic rhinitis was a strong predictor of revision surgery. Hypertrophy of adenoid based on endoscopic examination indicates that patients with large adenoid size were more likely to require repeated procedure.

Conclusion: We identified five risk factors for adenoid recurrence. Children younger than 5 years, patients with concurrent OSA, children who had undergone an adenoidectomy alone without tonsillectomy, concomitant diagnosis of medical disorders, and patients with large adenoid size on nasal endoscopy.

Introduction

Adenoidectomy is a frequently performed procedure in pediatric age group [1]. Regrowth of adenoid tissue is of concern to both the surgeon and the patient. There is scarce information in the literature regarding adenoid recurrence and its management [2]. Various risk factors of adenoid recurrence were discussed in literature including demographic data, preoperative endoscopic examination and lateral neck x-ray findings, presence of other medical disorders including bronchial asthma and allergic rhinitis, presence of obstructive sleep apnea and concurrent tonsillotony.

Our purpose was to determine the rate of revision adenoidectomy at our institution and to identify the risk factors associated with the need for revision surgery. In addition we wanted to check if preoperative lateral neck x-ray findings or size measurements could predict recurrence.

Methods

Design: A retrospective case control study.

Records of King Abdulaziz University hospital were reviewed and searched to identify all cases that underwent adenoidectomy or adenotonsillotony between January 2006 to June 2013. We identified those who had revision procedure by going back to medical records and booked procedures as revision. Data including demographic data, clinical presentation, medical conditions, other procedures, concurrent tonsillotony, and preoperative findings were collected.

A case-control study was then performed by matching each child who had undergone a repeated adenoidectomy to an age-gender-matched child who had undergone a single adenoidectomy within 8 years of each case. The control medical charts were then reviewed, and their demographic information was collected into a database. The adenoid size was measured on lateral neck x-ray performed prior to the first adenoidectomy in both groups and before the second adenoidectomy in the recurrence group. Adenoid size was defined as small if adenoid tissue filled 25% or less of the nasopharynx, medium if it filled 26% to 75% and large if it filled 76% or more. A straight line was drawn along the anterior margin of the basiocciput. From the point of the maximum adenoid shadow convexity, a second line was drawn perpendicular to the first one. The adenoid measurement...
represented the distance between the lines intersection and the point of the maximum adenoid shadow convexity (Figure 1).

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS. Chi-square test, Person and Spearman Correlation and, Paired Samples Test were used for the statistical analysis. To identify risk factors associated with repeated adenoidectomy, data were analyzed using nonparametric methods. Data from the matched case-control study were analyzed using the McNemar test. P < .05 was considered statistically significant. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee at King Abdulaziz University Hospital (KAUH).

Results

During the 8-year period of the review, 1468 adenoidectomies were performed for patients under age of 18. Forty cases of repeated adenoidectomies were identified. The rate of repeated adenoidectomy was 2.74% (40/1468). All adenoidectomies were performed by curette technique. The distribution of characteristics within cases and controls are given in (Table 1). Out of 40 patients, twenty-four (60%) were males and sixteen were females (40%). The mean age at first procedure was 4.28 years (SD 3.320 years). The mean age at second procedure was 7.50 years (SD 3.320 years). The average interval between the first and second surgery was of 3.22 years.

The mean age at first adenoidectomy was significantly lower in the repeated adenoidectomy group and children younger than 5 years at the time of adenoidectomy were 2 times more likely to require a repeated procedure (P < .001).

In addition, there was a significant relationship between OSA and the chance of adenoid recurrence (0.25 times in comparing to non-OSA patients) (p=0.002).

Our results also showed that there is a significant relationship between certain Medical disorders including bronchial asthma, allergic rhinitis and the chance of adenoid recurrence. The percentage of Bronchial asthma among the recurrent group was 50% (p-value of <0.0005). 27.5% of the recurrent group was diagnosed with allergic rhinitis (p-value of 0.002). Further, there was a strong association between adenoidectomy without tonsillectomy and repeated adenoidectomy, with children who had underwent adenoidectomy alone having a 2.5 times higher chance of having a repeated procedure (p<0.0005).

Regarding X-ray findings, a significant relationship was found between Adenoid size by endoscopic examination and the chance of revision adenoidectomy (p<0.0005). Large Adenoid size was found in 47.5% of recurrence group. On the other hand, lateral neck x-ray was performed for 13 patients in the control group out of 40. X-ray was not performed or not available for 27 patients in the control group and 30 patients in the recurrence group. Of the 40 patients who had a revision adenoidectomy, only 10 patients underwent lateral neck x-ray before the first operation and 16 had done x-ray before the second operation. The mean adenoid size before the first adenoidectomy in the control group was 14.9 mm (SD ± 4.15 mm), while the mean of the recurrence Group is (18.3 mm ± 4.4 mm). The mean difference was 3.4 mm larger in the revision group. This difference is not statistically significant. For the recurrence group, the mean adenoid size before the first adenoidectomy was 18.3 mm (SD ± 4.4 mm) and the mean adenoid size before the second adenoidectomy was 14.17 mm (SD ± 2.13 mm). The mean difference between the adenoid size before the first and second adenoidectomies was 4.13 mm, which is statistically significant (p-value= 0.002).

Discussion

According to our review, 2.7% of our patients undergoing adenoidectomy required revision surgery. Children younger than 5 years at the time of adenoidectomy were twice as likely to require revision surgery. Similar to our study, a published retrospective study on revision adenoidectomy, the mean age at presentation for primary adenoidectomy was 3.68 and was 7.69 years for secondary surgery with an average time interval of 4.3 years [2]. In another retrospective cohort study on the incidence of revision adenoidectomy in children, the mean age at first procedure was found to be 2.8 years. Mean age at second procedure was 4.7 years and the mean interval between procedures was 1.8 years [1]. Another cohort study on factors associated with revision adenoidectomy found that young age at initial adenoidectomy was a significant factor for revision

Table 1: Characteristics of children in matched case-control study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cases (n=20)</th>
<th>Control (n=40)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>4.28 years</td>
<td>4.28 years</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of first procedure</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.50 years</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>24/40 (60%)</td>
<td>24/40 (60%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>16/40 (40%)</td>
<td>16/40 (40%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication for sugar. No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial asthma</td>
<td>4/40 (10%)</td>
<td>20/40 (50%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(p=0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>1/40 (2.5%)</td>
<td>11/40 (27.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(p=0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSA</td>
<td>4/40 (10%)</td>
<td>16/40 (40%)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoidectomy without tonsillectomy</td>
<td>2/40 (5%)</td>
<td>25/40 (62.5%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>(p&lt;0.0005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenotonsillectomy</td>
<td>38/40 (95%)</td>
<td>15/40 (37.5%)</td>
<td>2.533</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoid size, Endoscopic exam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>14/40 (35%)</td>
<td>19/40 (47.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
adenoidectomy [3]. Another retrospective case-control study of repeated adenoidectomy in children found that children younger than 5 years at the time of adenoidectomy were 2.5 times more likely to require a repeated procedure [4].

In patients diagnosed with OSA, 40% experienced recurrence of adenoid symptoms and required repeated procedure. Our statistical results revealed a high statistically significant relationship between OSA and the chance of recurrence of adenoid (p=0.002), which may indicate an association between OSA and risk of recurrence. Perhaps large adenoids may have played a role in the recurrence rate since endoscopic evaluation of adenoid size in the current study found a strong association between large adenoid size and revision adenoidectomy, and considering that non-visualized curette technique was performed in all of the collected cases, this results may theorize that large adenoid size was the main contributor to OSA and recurrence of adenoid and perhaps adenoid tissue was not removed completely in the first surgery.

Our study identified a strong association between adenoidectomy without tonsillectomy and repeated adenoidectomy, with children who had undergone adenoidectomy alone having a 2.5 times higher chance (p<0.0005) of having a repeated procedure. In another case control study of repeated adenoidectomy, children who had undergone an adenoidectomy alone without tonsillectomy were 4.0 times more likely to require a repeated procedure compared to children with concurrent tonsillectomy [4].

Regarding the relationship between revision of adenoid and some medical disorders, half of the patients with revision adenoidectomy (50%=20/40) were diagnosed with asthma. Others were diagnosed with allergic rhinitis (27.5%=11/40). Our study illustrated a high statistically significant relationship between medical disorder including Bronchial asthma, allergic rhinitis and the chance of recurrence. The significant value among patients with allergic rhinitis (p=0.002), and with patients with bronchial asthma (p=0.000) which indicated a high statistically significant relationship between both medical disorders and the chance of recurrence using chi-square test. In a study on factors associated with revision adenoidectomy, there was a high incidence of allergies (32%), and bronchial asthma (23%) among patients with revision adenoidectomy, which aligns with our Results [3].

Previous studies have argued that the adenoid size on endoscopic examination does not correlate with lateral neck x-ray findings. Regarding radiographic and endoscopic evaluation of adenoid size we found a strong association between large adenoid size and revision adenoidectomy, where 47.5% of the recurrence group were having large adenoid by endoscopic examination. In regards to radiological evaluation there was no significant statistical difference between the adenoid size on lateral neck x-ray in the recurrence and control groups. The x-ray were available only for 25% (10/40) of the recurrence group and 32% (13/40) of the control group, although endoscopic examination showed a strong association between large adenoid size and the rate of recurrence, we could not compare these results to x-ray findings since there was a lot of missing information [11-13]. The fact that all cases were done by curette technique did not allow us to suggest non-visualized technique as a risk factor. The lateral neck x-ray were available only for 25% (10/40) of the recurrent group and 32% (13/40) of the control group, although endoscopic examination showed a strong association between large adenoid size and the rate of recurrence, we could not compare these results to x-ray findings since there was a lot of missing data [14-16].

**Conclusion**

We identified five risk factors for adenoid recurrence post curette adenoidectomy. Children younger than 5 years at the time of adenoidectomy, patients with concurrent obstructive sleep apnea, Children who had undergone an adenoidectomy alone without tonsillectomy, concomitant diagnosis of bronchial asthma or allergic...
rhinitis, and patients with large adenoid size based on endoscopic examination. Radiologic measurements preoperatively were not statistically significant predictors of recurrence. Further research should look into whether curettage technique was the reason for leaving remnants of large adenoid tissue.
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