Evaluation of Dry Eye in patients with Psoriasis
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the role of routine tear film tests such as Schirmer, tear film break up time (TBUT), Rose Bengal staining (RBS) and conjunctival impression cytology for dry eye evaluation in patients with psoriasis.

Methods: A case control study was performed at two referral eye centers. Symptomatic patients with psoriasis were enrolled based on their response to [Dry Eye Scoring System, DESS®], a questionnaire of dry eye related symptoms (Table 1). They further underwent Schirmer, TBUT, RBS and conjunctival impression cytology (CIC). Age and sex matched healthy subjects, served as controls. Means of groups were compared using t tests. Sensitivity and specificity of tear film tests for dry eye diagnosis was estimated by the area under receiver operating characteristic [ROC] curve. A correlation analysis (with regression) was done between dry eye symptoms and tear function tests in both groups, respectively. Adjusted Pearson’s coefficient (R²) and slopes of normal probability plots were compared; R² >0.5 was considered significant.

Results: The diagnostic accuracy of tear film tests was CIC (AUC=0.969) >TBUT (AUC=0.962)>Schirmer (AUC= 0.882)>RBS (AUC=0.880). Nelson grade (R²=0.840) correlated best with dry eye symptom severity. Schirmer (R²=0.612) and TBUT (R²=0.630) also correlated well but with a smaller magnitude. However, there was lack of correlation between symptom severity and RBS (R²=0.334).

Conclusion: Nelson grade correlates better with dry eye symptom severity as compared to TBUT, Schirmer and RBS. CIC is more sensitive and specific than routine tear function tests for dry eye evaluation in patients with psoriasis.

Introduction

Psoriasis is a chronic autoimmune disease that triggers skin to speed up its cellular growth cycle. In response to some antigenic stimuli, there is activation of plasmacytoid dendritic cells and immune cells; these cells in turn produce pro-inflammatory cytokines like interferon-alpha, interleukin-23 and interleukin-12 that activate T-cells. Recruited T cells stimulate proliferation of keratinocytes [1-6].

Dryness of eyes is a common ophthalmic manifestation of psoriasis and may affect vision related quality of life. Recently, there have been significant inroads into pathophysiology of dry eye; inflammation of the ocular surface is now an integral part of disease process [7]. Dryness of eyes and the accompanying ocular surface inflammation may be associated with expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, human beta-defensins (hBD) and markers like HLA-DR; this may lead to squamous metaplasia of conjunctival epithelial cells and reduction of goblet cell density [8,9].

Most investigators now believe that the primary etiologic factors of the disease may contribute to the development of ocular lesions, since early conjunctival surface changes, tear film alterations, and meibomian gland dysfunction may be seen in patients with mild to moderate psoriasis. However, the efficacy of routine tear film tests like Schirmer, tear film break up time (TBUT) and Rose Bengal staining (RBS) for dry eye diagnosis in patients with psoriasis has not been defined. Secondly, the impact of psoriasis on ocular surface health and cytological changes in conjunctiva needs to be evaluated more extensively.

Ocular surface health may be assessed by conjunctival impression cytology (CIC), which allows cells to be harvested from ocular surface non-invasively; cells are removed by application of cellulose acetate filters or biopore membranes and subsequently analyzed by various methods depending on study objective or pathology involved. CIC can be used in conjunction with techniques like light microscopy, flow cytometry, RT-PCR amplification and immunohistochemistry, to aid in diagnosis and providing insight into mechanism and pathogenesis of dry eye disease [10].

The present study evaluated the efficacy of routine tear film tests and CIC for dry eye diagnosis in symptomatic patients with psoriasis as compared to age and sex matched healthy controls. The study also evaluated the correlation of these parameters with dry eye symptomatology and severity.

Methods

A case control study was performed at two referral eye centers in northern part of the Indian sub-continent. The trial was approved by the institutional review boards and the local ethics committee. A written informed consent was obtained from all patients willing to participate in the study based on Helsinki protocol.

Inclusion criteria

The diagnosis of psoriasis was made by a single dermatologist (SA) and the severity of skin disease assessed based on the Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) [11-14]. Symptomatic patients with psoriasis (chronic plaque/erythrodemic/pustular types) referred from dermatology or rheumatology clinics participated in the study. Subjects were recruited based on their response to (Dry Eye Scoring System, DESS®), a questionnaire of dry eye related symptoms (Table 1). All patients were also questioned for disease duration, joint involvement, and psoriasis treatment modalities received. Age and

sex matched subjects without skin or ophthalmic disease, obtained from the same population/geographic area served as controls.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients having current ocular infection, psoriatic uveitis or episcleritis, past history of herpetic eye disease, liver disease, diabetes and laser in situ keratomileusis were excluded from the study. Pregnant and lactating mothers, post-menopausal women, cognitive or psychiatric disorders, human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis B or C infection, current use of punctual plugs, anti-glaucoma drugs, oral anti-coagulants, topical corticosteroids (four to six weeks prior to study enrolment) and anti-cholinergics were also excluded. Patients allergic to fluorescein and malignancy or chronic infection of lacrimal gland were also excluded.

**Power calculation**

Power was calculated using DESS to detect clinical significance between cases and controls after data analysis, based on data from previously published study [15]. Taking a lead from this study, power was calculated using primary outcome measure (DESS) and assumptions were as follows: Odds ratio = 9.8, exposed controls= 29%, one-sided alpha risk = 5%, controls / case ratio = 1.07, total exposed=48.3086%, Estimated power= 99.9999%.

**Ophthalmic examination and measurements**

The dry eye scoring system (DESS) was administered to all participants (cases as well as controls) prior to ophthalmic examination and tests. A score was assigned to common symptoms of dry eye (Table 1). DESS is assessed on a scale of 0-18, with higher scores representing dry eye severity. A symptom score of 0-6 represents mild, 6.1-12 moderate, and 12.1 to 18, severe dry eye [16]. Following DESS questionnaire, the subjects had a complete ophthalmic examination done by an independent investigator (not a study surgeon) and tests. A score was assigned to common symptoms of dry eye representing dry eye severity. A symptom score of 0-6 represents mild, 6.1 to 12 were moderate, and 12.1 to 18 indicated severely symptomatic dry eye [12]. © Bhargava R. Laser Eye Clinic, Noida, India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Absent (0)</th>
<th>Sometime (1)</th>
<th>Frequent (2)</th>
<th>Always Present (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itching (or) burning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy (or) gritty sensation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurring of vision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular fatigue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive blinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Scores of 0 to 6 were mild, 6.1 to 12 were moderate, and 12.1 to 18 indicated severely symptomatic dry eye [12]. © Bhargava R. Laser Eye Clinic, Noida, India

The subject then waited for 30 minutes and Schirmer’s test with anaesthesia was performed with eyes closed. The length of wetting on the filter paper after 5 minutes was recorded. Wetting less than 6 mm was considered diagnostic for dry eye [18].

After waiting for another 30 minutes, the Rose Bengal staining (RBS) was performed by applying a moistened sterile strip containing 1.5 mg Rose Bengal over the inferior bulbar conjunctiva. A van Bijsterveld score of 4 or more was considered positive for dry eye syndrome [19].

**Impression cytology technique**: A single examiner performed CIC (by transfer method) and was masked to information obtained from the questionnaire [20]. The lacrimal lake at inner canthus was dried with a cotton tip applicator. A circular 0.22 micron filter paper measuring 13 mm in diameter (Sartorius, Gottingen, Germany) was grasped with a blunt tipped forceps and applied over the inferior bulbar conjunctiva. CIC samples were obtained from the non-exposed conjunctiva to eliminate the influence of environmental related factors on ocular surface in the exposed part. The paper strip was gently pressed with a glass rod held in the other hand. The filter paper was removed in a peeling fashion after 4-10 seconds and specimen transferred to the lab for fixation (ethyl alcohol, formaldehyde, and glacial acetic acid in 20:1:1 volume ratio) and staining. Due to relative ease of handling, the filter paper was first placed on a glass slide with albumin paste to transfer the specimen to the slide, instead of working directly. However, loss of adhered material to the filter can be considered a potential disadvantage. The filter paper was then removed from the slide and the slide labelled and numbered. The slide was kept at room temperature and stained with periodic acid–Schiff and counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin. The mounted slide was first examined under the light microscope with 100x low power field (x 10 objective lens). After localization, cells were then analyzed with 400x final magnification (x 40 objective). At least 10 HPF were examined for goblet cells and epithelial cells. The number of goblet cells per HPF were marked and counted. Estimated GCD = number of goblet cells counted per HPF divided by sampling area covered in mm². Grading and scoring was carried out by criteria suggested by Nelson [21].

**Grade 0**: The epithelial cells are small and round with eosinophilic staining cytoplasm. The nuclei are large, basophilic, with a nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio of 1:2. The goblet cells are abundant, plump and oval shaped having intensively PAS positive cytoplasm.

**Grade I**: The epithelial cells are slightly larger, more polygonal and have eosinophilic staining cytoplasm. The nuclei are smaller with a nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio of 1.3. The goblet cells are less in number, however they still maintain their plump oval shape, with an intensively PAS positive cytoplasm.

**Grade II**: The epithelial cells are larger and polygonal, occasionally multinucleated with variably staining cytoplasm. The nuclei are small...
with a nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio of 1:4 to 1:5. The goblet cells are markedly decreased in number and are smaller, less intensively PAS positive, with poorly defined cellular borders.

**Grade III:** The epithelial cells are large and polygonal with basophilic staining cytoplasm. The nuclei are small, pyknotic and in many cells, completely absent. The nucleo-cytoplasmic ratio is greater than 1:6. Goblet cells are completely absent. Nelson Grades 0 and 1 were regarded as normal, whereas grades 2 and 3 were considered to represent abnormal cytology.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 22, IBM Inc.). Means of groups were compared using t tests. Chi-square tests were used for proportions. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used when there were more than two groups (severity of dry eye disease and sub-type of psoriasis). Sensitivity and specificity of tear film tests for dry eye diagnosis in symptomatic patients was estimated by the area under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A correlation analysis (with regression) was done between dry eye symptoms and tear function tests in both groups, respectively. Adjusted Pearson’s coefficient (R²) and slopes of normal probability plots were compared; R² > 0.5 was considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 136 subjects were enrolled in the study. Eyes of 60 patients with psoriasis were compared to 76 eyes of age and sex matched controls after discarding 6 poorly stained CIC slides. Out of these, 46(76.7%) had chronic plaque type, 8 (13.3%) had pustular and 6(10%) had erythrodermic psoriasis. The mean duration of skin disease was 6.9±4.6 years. There was no significant difference in the severity of dry eye disease between the subtypes of psoriasis (ANOVA, P=0.456).

The mean age was comparable amongst cases and controls (P=0.246). The difference in gender among cases and controls was not significant (P=0.445). There was a significant difference (P < 0.001) in tear film parameters among cases and controls.

At baseline, 3(5%) cases were severely symptomatic, 36(60%) moderately symptomatic, 13(21.6%) mildly symptomatic and 7(12%) symptom free. Amongst controls, 2(2.6%) were moderately symptomatic, 20(26.3%) mildly symptomatic and 54(71%) were symptom free (P<0.0001).

Out of 52(86.6%) symptomatic psoriatic patients, Schirmer’s test was positive in 20(33.3%), 21 (35%) had abnormal staining, 28(46.7%) had TBUT consistent with dry eye and 21 (35%) abnormal cytology (Nelson Grade 2 and 3); out of these, (17/28.3%) patients had grade 2 changes (Figure 1).

Out of 22 (28.9%) symptomatic controls, Schirmer’s test was positive in 7 (9.2%), 12(15.8%) had abnormal staining, 11(14.6%) had abnormal TBUT and none had abnormal cytology. In the control group, 68(89.5%) hade grade 0 and 8 (10.5%) had grade 1 changes.

The diagnostic accuracy of CIC, TBUT, Schirmer and RBS in psoriatic patients was evaluated and compared with age and sex matched controls. The area under the curve (AUC) was measured using ROC curve. The diagnostic accuracy was CIC (AUC=0.969) >TBUT (AUC=0.962) >Schirmer (AUC= 0.882) >RBS (AUC=0.880) (Figure 2).

On correlation analysis (along with regression), there was a significant correlation between symptom severity and Nelson Grade (Pearson’s correlation coefficient, R²=0.88). The correlation was not significant amongst controls (R²=0.002) (Figure 3). Likewise, TBUT and Schirmer also correlated well with symptom severity (R²=0.630 and 0.612, respectively) as compared to controls (R²=0.061 and 0.136, respectively) (Figure 4). Moreover, there was a significant difference in the slope of the normal probability plots amongst cases and controls.

On simple linear regression, 84 % variability in Nelson grade, 63% in TBUT, 61% in Schirmer and 33% variability in RBS, respectively, could be explained by dry eye symptom severity. On analysis of variance, the probability corresponding to the F value< 0.0001, suggests that there was less than 0.01% risk in assuming that the null hypothesis (no effect of dry eye symptoms) was wrong.

**Discussion**

The present study evaluated the role of routine tear function tests for dry eye diagnosis in symptomatic patients. Sensitivity and specificity of tear film tests for dry eye diagnosis in symptomatic patients was estimated by the area under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A correlation analysis (with regression) was done between dry eye symptoms and tear function tests in both groups, respectively.

The diagnostic accuracy of CIC, TBUT, Schirmer and RBS in psoriatic patients was evaluated and compared with age and sex matched controls. The area under the curve (AUC) was measured using ROC curve. The diagnostic accuracy was CIC (AUC=0.969) >TBUT (AUC=0.962) >Schirmer (AUC= 0.882) >RBS (AUC=0.880) (Figure 2).
tests and CIC for dry eye evaluation in patients with psoriasis. Dry eye diagnosis and its management has been a challenging task for ophthalmologists as most diagnostic tests for dry eye are poorly standardized, making compare between studies tenuous at best; a generally agreed upon ‘gold standard’ still does not exist [22].

Ocular signs and symptoms may be discordant; for instance, ocular symptoms might not occur despite reduction of tear production and not all symptomatic patients have abnormal tear function tests [23]. These were reinforced by the observation in the present study that among symptomatic psoriatic patients, 33.3% had abnormal Schirmer, 46.7% had tear film instability and 35% had altered cellular morphology. Secondly, 7(12%) psoriatic patients, although asymptomatic at inclusion (according to DESS) had an abnormal TBUT (<10 sec). Consequently, symptom based assessments have been a key component of clinical diagnosis in dry eye conditions; in dry eye research, a questionnaire can serve as a screening instrument and to define treatment groups according to symptoms [24].

In a case control study in 29 patients with psoriasis and 12 controls, Kumar et al found that dry eye disease was more severe (worse Nelson grade) in patients with pustular and erythrodermic psoriasis. However, the present study did not find any significant difference in disease severity or Nelson grade between subtypes of psoriasis (ANOVA, P=0.456). Small sample size and different statistical method (Chi Square test versus ANOVA) could probably account for the difference in observation [25].
In a case control study (n=100 eyes each), Karabulut et al. evaluated ocular-surface changes and tear-film functions in patients with plaque-type psoriasis. The authors found reduction in Schirmer and TBUT test values in symptomatic psoriatic patients; 20% patients had Nelson grade 2 changes on impression cytology as compared to 2% controls. An observation similar to the results of the present study [26].

In another study on 30 patients and equal number of healthy controls, Her et al. also found a significant reduction in TBUT, goblet cell density and alteration in epithelial cell morphology in cases as compared to controls. However, there was no difference in Schirmer test values between the groups. This finding was inconsistent with present and other studies in patients with psoriasis [27,28]. Small sample size of patients (n=30) could probably explain for the difference in observation.

Bhargava et al. compared diagnostic accuracy of CIC, TBUT and Schirmer test for dry eye evaluation in computer users in a large series of patients (n=715). Mean TBUT, Schirmer’s test values, and goblet cell density were significantly reduced in computer users (P<0.001). TBUT, Schirmer’s, and CIC were abnormal in 48.5%, 29.1%, and 38.4% symptomatic computer users respectively as compared to 8%, 6.7%, and 7.3% symptomatic controls respectively. On correlation analysis, there was a significant correlation between dry eye symptoms, TBUT and CIC scores, in contrast to Schirmer’s scores (R²<0.5). The authors concluded that tear film tests should be used in combination with dry eye questionnaire for a more accurate dry eye evaluation.

In a case control study on 216 eyes of patients with dry eye and 140 controls, Kumar et al found that GCD and TBUT were better predictors of morphological and cytological changes in the conjunctiva than Schirmer. Moreover, TBUT was more sensitive and specific than RBS and Schirmer for diagnosis of dry eye. An
observation, similar to the results of the present study [29].

In conclusion, Nelson grade correlates better with dry eye symptom severity as compared to TBUT, Schirmer and RBS. CIC is more sensitive and specific than routine tear function tests for dry eye evaluation in patients with psoriasis.
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