A Guideline on Provisional Restorations for Patients Undergoing Implant Treatment

Introduction

Implant therapy has been well documented to have high success rate to restore partially and fully edentulous patients [1,2]. With increased patient acceptance for implant treatment and demand for minimum disruption on the transition from natural dentition to implant supported restorations, a well-constructed provisional prosthesis that fulfills the functional, aesthetic and phonetics need is required prior to the delivery of the final restorations.

An ideal provisional restoration should be strong, durable and aesthetic, and it ought not to produce excessive pressure to the underlying soft tissue, as undesirable transmucosal pressure can lead to interruption of healing at the grafted sites or implant osseointegration [3-5]. A tooth or implant supported provisional restoration is often the preferred option. It offers psychological benefit and convenience for the patient and the clinician has control over the amount of soft tissue pressure exerted. A tissue borne removable prosthesis is indicated for its lower cost or in cases where no fixed support is available but the fitting surface of the tissue borne prosthesis has to be carefully adjusted to avoid violation of healing.

Different types of provisional restorations should be considered depending on the treatment modality prescribed. For instances, treatment involving complex bone regeneration and grafting techniques requires longer uninterrupted healing time [6] and hence a provisional restoration that is durable and allows for easy modification is advantageous. On the other hand, implant placement with immediate provisionalisation [7] requires a provisional restoration that can support the peri-implant tissues. The predictability of the implant dentistry has shifted the therapy emphasis on not only the replacement of teeth but also the restoration of aesthetics. In addition to providing interim function, provisional restorations also serve as a template for the definitive restorations, and multiple sets of provisional restorations may be called for to formulate the best blue print for the definitive restorations. CAD/CAM prostheses are ideal alternatives where multiple sets of provisional prostheses are anticipated to fit the various treatment stages. The digital data acquired can be saved and modified accordingly and prostheses can be manufactured without repeated impression and inconvenience to the patients [8].

The purpose of this article is to offer a concise summary on the various provisional restorations currently used in implant therapy and provide a selection guideline based on their indications, contraindications, advantages, and disadvantages.

Types of Provisional Restorations

Provisional restorations for implant dentistry may be broadly categorized into removal and fixed provisional restorations. Removable provisional restorations are generally tooth and/or soft tissue borne whereas fixed provisional restorations are supported by adjacent teeth or implants. Provisional restorations may be used immediately following tooth extraction, during socket healing and site development, prior to implant placement and during osseointegration. Provisional restorations can also be used following implant uncovering for the purpose of soft tissue support, and aesthetics and function assessment prior to the delivery of the final restorations.

Removable Provisional Restorations

Removable partial prosthesis

An acrylic resin based removable partial prosthesis (Acrylic-RPP) is commonly prescribed as a provisional prosthesis for its fabrication simplicity, cost, and ease of insertion. The ability to modify an Acrylic-RPP to accommodate changes in ridge anatomy as a consequence of extraction, soft / hard tissue augmentation, and implant placement is also a welcome advantage. However, their bulkiness, palatal coverage and removable nature are often the cited reasons for dissatisfaction by patients. The possibilities of initiating soft tissue inflammation around gingival margins and the potential of exerting pressure to the underlying surgical site are also causes of concerns for the clinicians. It is crucial that the removable prostheses should remain passive during the initial healing phase following soft/hard tissue augmentation procedures and following implant placement as mucosal pressure could risk flap dehiscence, membrane exposure and bacterial contamination [9] whereas micro-movement may lead to fibrous encapsulation of the dental implants [10]. The creation of space between the prosthesis and the underlying tissue is sometimes necessary to minimize transmucosal pressure leading to an unsightly gap between the ridge and neck of the denture teeth.

Cobalt chromium based removable partial prosthesis (CoCr-RPP) may be designed to be supported by teeth and therefore eliminate the problems associated with the tissue borne acrylic resin based RPP. However, the additional fabrication cost and their inherent removable nature do not warrant their frequent use. However, patient’s existing CoCr-RPP may be modified to serve as the provisional prosthesis.

Vacuum-formed retainer

Vacuum-formed retainers, often referred as the Essix retainers,
can be fabricated either in a commercial laboratory or in the dental office from clear thermoplastic sheets under high pressure and heat to retain pontics for missing teeth [11]. The matrix for the vacuum form is made with a pre-extraction stone cast, a duplicate cast of the diagnostic wax up of the missing teeth or a stone cast with denture teeth placed at the edentulous site. The tooth color resin is used to fill the space after the retainer is made whereas denture teeth are captured inside the vacuumined form during thermal suction. The retainers are easy and inexpensive to fabricate and they are able to protect the healing site, as they are tooth borne.

However, vacuum-formed retainers may not be appropriate for long-term use. As occlusal surface of the entire arch is covered, the retainers may interfere with speech and mastication and may be aesthetically unacceptable by some patients. Partial arch coverage is not recommended as it may lead to occlusal interference or possible supra-eruption of uncovered teeth [12]. Their durability is also limited as the thermostlastic material is vulnerable to occlusal wear and frequent replacement is often needed.

Fixed Provisional Restorations

Chairside resin bonded provisional restoration

A fixed provisional restoration offers psychological benefit and convenience for the patients and protects the surgical site from transmucosal pressure. Where the edentulous span is small and the provisional phase is short, bonding artificial teeth or patient’s extracted teeth to the adjacent natural teeth provide an easy and economical option. However, the bulk interproximal composite may result in unacceptable aesthetic outcome for some patients and the composite junctions are vulnerable to fracture. Mesh plates or fiber strips can be incorporated to reinforce the composite bridge and reduce the need for bulky interproximal composite [13,14]. However they are likely damaged on removal and new ones need to be constructed throughout the treatment. Figures 1a-1c demonstrated a chairside fiber reinforced composite provisional restoration. A root form titanium implant was placed and buried at maxillary left central incisor site (Figure 1a). The pontic was made of composite resin using a putty template of patient’s diagnostic wax up and secured with a fiber strip and flowable composite resin on adjacent teeth (Figure 1b and 1c). Orthodontic brackets with an archwire are another alternative to secure pontics. It has the advantage that it can easily be detached and replaced onto the brackets throughout the treatment. However, the presence of brackets maybe considered unsightly for some patients [15].

Laboratory made resin bonded fixed partial prosthesis

When extended healing time is anticipated, cast metal reinforced resin bonded fixed partial prosthesis (FPP) may be considered for their structural durability. They were developed as a conservative option for definitive tooth replacement, and have since become popular as provisional prostheses for implant therapy. However, optimal aesthetics may be difficult to achieve because thin or translucent teeth are often unable to mask the gray color of the palatal metal retainers.

All ceramic resin bonded FPP for missing anterior teeth may be used in selective patients when the aesthetic need is high during the provisional phase. The minimum connector dimensions, which vary according to the types of ceramics used, need to be respected to ensure sufficient rigidity and strength [16]. Although all ceramic resin bonded prostheses offer superior aesthetic potential compared to their metal base counterparts, the brittle nature of the ceramics does not permit intact removal for reuse whereas removal by mechanical tapping and recementation is possible with metal-based prostheses.

Cantilever prostheses in the anterior region have been shown to have fewer clinical complications compared to the two-retainer prostheses. The risk of debonding is reduced in the cantilever design as problems associated with rigid splinting of two abutments of differential mobility are eliminated [17,18]. Resin bonded
prostheses offer a conservative option for long-term fixed provisional restorations. They can be readily applied to mandibular anterior teeth where occlusal interferences are not a factor. However, such prostheses may not be possible in patients with deep overbite due to interference with the retainers [17].

**Conventional fixed provisional restoration**

Where teeth adjacent to surgical sites are indicated for complete coverage restorations, conventional fixed provisional restorations involving tooth preparation offer a convenient and predictable option without compromising the implant site. Where multiple periodontally compromised teeth are indicated for extraction for implant placement, sequential treatment with serial extraction concept may be employed. The periodontally compromised teeth are strategically selected and prepared as abutments for provisional prostheses and the remaining periodontally compromised teeth are extracted and replaced with implants. The healing and osseointegration are protected by the fixed provisional prostheses as transmucosal pressures are eliminated. Once the implants are integrated and uncovered, the abutment teeth are extracted and replaced with more implants if indicated and the provisional prosthesis is converted to be supported by the integrated implants via relining intra- orally with auto polymerizing resin [19,20]. The serial extraction concept avoids the use of a removable prosthesis and its associated problems, however, because the extractions are staged, the treatment time is prolonged and the demand on the durability of the provisional prosthesis is increased.

In addition to elimination of harmful pressure at surgical sites, conventional provisional FPP can be mechanically retained by temporary cements; and removal for modification and reuse is relatively easy compared to the resin bonded restorations. However, the polymethylmethacrylate resin used for the fabrication of the provisional prosthesis is prone to fracture without reinforcement in long span situations. Loosening of the prosthesis, dentine sensitivity and secondary caries are some other possible complications.

**Transitional implants**

Provisional restorations supported by immediately loaded transitional implants (TI) offer a viable alternative to avoid any transmucosal loading in cases where a tooth supported provisional restoration is not possible [21-23]. TWs enable patients to use a provisional fixed restoration with form and function similar to those of the definitive prosthesis, at the same allows for uninterrupted healing at the implant and/or grafted site.

TIs can be placed in the edentulous sites before the ridge augmentation procedures or adjacent to the sites of the definitive implant placement. These implants are immediately loaded after a chairside reline of the interim removable partial dentures or polycarbonate crowns using auto polymerizing resin. Although these implants have been used with great success, excessive loading on TIs may result in their fracture. Moreover, placement of TIs too close to the definitive fixtures may prevent complete integration of the implant and the surrounding hard tissues [24].

**Implant Supported Provisional Restoration**

Following the uncovering of the implants, provisional restorations are often prescribed to support the peri-implant tissues and to assess the aesthetic outcome of the treatment thus far. The provisional restoration is used to evaluate if the future definitive restoration is in harmony with surrounding structures and to detect if any hard and soft tissues deficiency is present. The relationship between the provisional restoration and surrounding structures dictates the needs for further corrective surgeries and grafting procedures or prosthetic means to overcome the insufficiency in hard and soft tissue. Figure 2a demonstrated a case where pink acrylic was used to disguise the vertical deficiency but the aesthetic outcomes was unsatisfactory. The crown heights of the definitive restorations were subsequently lengthened to compensate for the additional space as seen in Figure 2b and further grafting procedures would be indicated to improve the aesthetic outcome.

In optimal situations where no further corrective procedures are indicated, provisional restorations can shape the emergence profile of the restorations, and the matured soft tissue contour can be transferred to the definitive restorations with customized impression copings [25]. Figure 3a showed implant supported provisional restorations replacing both maxillary central incisors. The implants were placed in an ideal buccal-lingual position for screw-retained restorations (Figure 3b). The provisional restorations were modified with the addition of acrylic resins at the fitting surfaces and interproximal areas between the central incisors during the provisional phase to shape the underlying mucosa and to encourage the filling of interproximal papilla. Figure 3c demonstrated the mucosa contour shaped by the provisional restorations after 6 months of provisional phase.
CAD/CAM Provisional Prostheses

With the advance in digital dentistry, CAD/CAM (computer aided design/computer aided manufacture) is now gaining popularity for manufacturing prostheses. The construction of a CAD/CAM prosthesis starts with data acquisition involving the construction of a digital model using a dental scanner based on direct intra-oral scan or scanning an impression or a stone model of the patient. The prosthesis is designed virtually using a CAD program, which is then realized by processing with a milling machine, using the subtractive
method or a 3-D printing machine, using the additive method [26]. Prostheses made from the subtractive method are milled from solid blocks of materials but such method has the inherent shortcomings of excessive material wastage and the impossibility of reproducing undercuts. On the other hand, the additive method, utilizing the rapid prototyping (RP) technique, does not create excessive waste as the materials used are in powder or liquid forms which are then hardened by external power sources sand layered into the final prosthesis. The most common technologies employed for additive method in dentistry are the stereo lithography (SLA) and selective laser sintering (SLS) methods [27].

CAD/CAM technology can be used for the fabrication of provisional restorations employing high-density polymers based on a highly cross-linked polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) or composite resin. They allow for an extended provisionisation phase as the high-density polymers offer favorable mechanical behaviors and biocompatibility compared to the traditional indirect provisional prostheses [28]. Furthermore, the polymer-based materials enable reshaping, adding, and re-polishing procedures at chair side [29]. Another major advantage provided by the CAD/CAM technology is the ability to design and modify the pontic morphology digitally to accommodate for the changes in soft tissue architecture throughout the treatment. In addition, with the use of the dataset stored, multiple millings without the need for a new intra-oral impression are achievable. This feature allows for easy replacement of a fractured prosthesis and trying of various aesthetic designs. The customized provisional prosthesis could subsequently be scanned and digitally transferred into the definitive prosthesis for a seamless delivery. CAD/CAM fabrication can be a cost-effective alternative to a laboratory-manufactured, long-term provisional prosthesis. Figures demonstrated the utilization of CAD/CAM technology for the construction of full arch zirconia, implant supported fixed maxillary and mandibular prostheses [13-16]. Figure 5a showed the intra-oral teeth set up try-in of the full arch implant supported prostheses. Once the aesthetics, phonetics and occlusion were confirmed, the teeth set-up were placed onto the articulator and scanned for digital transfer (Figure 5b). Prototypes of the final prostheses based on the teeth set-up were subsequently milled from polymer based materials for further intra-oral reconfirmation (Figure 5c). Once all aspects of aesthetic, phonetic and occlusal needs were satisfied, the prototypes were scanned for the production of the definitive full arch zirconia prostheses. As all data were transferred digitally, errors sometimes encountered through multiple impressions and duplication processes were eliminated. The definitive prostheses were constructed to almost exact replica of the prototypes, and minimum adjustment was necessary on the day of delivery (Figure 5d).
Conclusions

The provisional treatment phase can be the most challenging aspect of implant dentistry. The options available today include removable, tooth-supported, and transitional implant-supported provisional restorations. The types of provisional restoration selected should be based on esthetic demands, functional requirements, financial considerations, duration required, and ease of fabrication. Implant supported provisional restorations play an important role in the successful outcome of the implant treatment. They are able to assess the relationships between the future definitive restorations and their surrounding hard and soft tissues, and provide crucial information on need for further surgical intervention or choices of prosthetic modalities for optima aesthetic outcome. CAD/CAM technology offers a promising provisional prosthesis fabrication alternative to conventional techniques and is now a viable and cost effective option. Distinct advantages and disadvantages (Table 1) of...
Table 2: Guidelines for selection of provisional restoration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restoration</th>
<th>AcrylicRPP</th>
<th>CoCr RPP</th>
<th>Essix</th>
<th>Chairside bonded restoration</th>
<th>Metal based bonded FFP</th>
<th>Ceramic based bonded FFP</th>
<th>Transitional implants</th>
<th>CAD CAM provisional restorations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Esthetics</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonetics</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans-mucosal Loading</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue contouring</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edentulous span</td>
<td>1-6 Units</td>
<td>1-6 Units</td>
<td>1-4 Units</td>
<td>1 unit</td>
<td>1-4 units</td>
<td>1-2 Units</td>
<td>Full Arch</td>
<td>Full Arch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>6 Months</td>
<td>Until Final Restoration</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
<td>Until Final Restoration</td>
<td>Until Final Restoration</td>
<td>Until Final Restoration</td>
<td>Until Final Restoration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Good, - Poor, 0 Moderate

each provisional approach should be evaluated with respect to the specific needs of each patient (Table 2).
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