Laparoscopic Revision of Vertical Banded Gastroplasty to Sleeve Gastrectomy for Gastric Outlet Obstruction: Early Experience in Cohort of 18 Patients
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Abstract

Introduction: The long-term effectiveness of Vertical Banded Gastroplasty (VBG) is limited due to development of complications related to gastric outlet obstruction at the band site. Surgical reversal or conversion to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass has been the mainstay of treatment for complications. The purpose of this study was to assess outcomes of revising VBG to laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) in patients presenting with gastric outlet obstruction.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 18 consecutive patients that underwent revision of VBG to LSG for gastric outlet obstruction, from 2008 to 2012, in an academic institution.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 47 ± 11 years (89% females), with mean preoperative BMI of 36 ± 8 kg/m². The mean length of time from the original VBG was 10 ± 7 years. Gastric outlet obstruction, diagnosed by EGD and UGI, was present in 100% (n=18). Intolerance to solid food was present in 39%, while 44% had reflux symptoms. The mean operative time was 187 ± 50 min. There was one conversion to open sleeve gastrectomy secondary to dense adhesions. There were two staple-line leaks (11%) requiring reoperation. There were no perioperative bleedings and no deaths. Symptom resolution was observed in 95% of patients. Postoperative BMI was 33 ± 6 kg/m² at 15-month follow-up.

Conclusion: Revision of VBG to LSG is a safe and feasible option for patients presenting with gastric outlet obstruction with low BMI. This procedure alleviates gastric outlet obstruction, while maintaining gastric restriction.

Introduction

Vertical Banded Gastroplasty (VBG) was the most commonly performed primary bariatric surgery in the late 1980s. Avoiding the potentially devastating metabolic side-effects of the malabsorptive procedures at the time and due to its relative simplicity, it gained popularity as a primarily restrictive procedure [1]. While having initially promising short-term results, high long-term failure rates in the range of 20-79% made the VBG less appealing [2,3]. These high failure rates were usually the result of either weight recidivism or other long-term complications including gastric outlet stenosis, staple line disruption, incisional hernia, band erosion and severe esophagitis [4-6].

Outlet stenosis at the neo-pylorus junction is an amorphous complication following VBG, reported in approximately 10-20% of patients, leading to clinical manifestations of gastric outlet obstruction [3,7,8]. Usually a late complication, with an interval of about 5 years following the original surgery, patients can become quite symptomatic complaining of intractable vomiting, dysphagia or reflux [3,9]. Band slippage, occurring in 1-20% of patients, is another recognized etiology of gastric outlet obstruction [10]. As a general consequence of this obstruction, patients develop maladaptive eating strategies leading to significant weight re-gain [3].

Revisional bariatric surgery from the VBG to another bariatric procedure is becoming increasingly common, with the literature quoting rates between 10-65% [3,11,12]. Surgical reversal or conversion to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) has been the mainstay of treatment for complications. Due to its relative infancy, the Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG) is only starting to be used as a revisional option for failure. Initial studies have been shown to it to be a feasible option with excess weight loss ranging between 16-64% [2,13,14]. The purpose of this study was to assess outcomes of revising VBG to laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) in patients presenting with gastric outlet obstruction.

Methods

Study design

Retrospective review of data collected regarding VBG patients presenting with gastric outlet obstruction, and undergone revision to LSG conducted by single surgeon at our institution from January 2008 and December 2010. The institutions ethics board granted approval for the study.

Data recorded
Preoperative characteristics collected were patient’s age, sex, initial weight and BMI. Preoperative symptoms were also collected. Selective use of upper contrast gastrograffin was utilized. Confirmation of gastric outlet obstruction was made with upper endoscopy. The length of hospital stay and complication rate was also recorded.

Outcomes
The outcomes recorded were mean time from initial VBG, mean absolute weight loss, and mean change in BMI, and improvement in obstructive symptoms.

Surgical technique
Patients were positioned in the supine position and received deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis. Eroded gastric mesh was removed endoscopically, which intact mesh was removed laparoscopically during the operation. A gastrostomy was made distally on the stomach, and a Covidien™ Tri-staple device was inserted through the stomach and fundus, and used to remove restriction at the narrowed stoma. The greater curvature vessels were divided using a harmonic scalpel. The sleeve gastrectomy was constructed over a 50 French sizing bougie inserted orally. The antral staple line was initiated with a Covidien™ Tri-stapler, followed by 4-5 x 60 mm purple firings. The staple line was started 6 cm proximal to the pylorus. The specimen was retrieved. Contrast study was performed on post operative day 1. The patients were discharged home when tolerating liquid diet.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive summaries of age, gender, BMI, OR time, length of stay, complications, and follow-up time were conducted for this series of patients. Mean ± SD or percentage used were appropriate.

Results
18 patients were included in this study. The mean age of the patients was 47 ± 11 years (89% females), with mean preoperative BMI of 36 ± 8 kg/m² (Table 1). The mean length of time from the original VBG was 10 ± 7 years. Gastric outlet obstruction, diagnosed by EGD and UGI, was present in 100% (n=18). Intolerance to solid food was present in 39%, while 44% had reflux symptoms. The mean operative time was 187 ± 50 min. There was one conversion to open sleeve gastrectomy secondary to dense adhesions. There were two staple-line leaks requiring reoperation (Table 2). There were no perioperative bleeds and no deaths. Symptom resolution was observed in 94% of patients at 1 year (Table 2). Postoperative BMI was 32.8 ± 5.8, 29.8 ± 3.3, 27.4 ± 3.2 at 3, 6 and 12 months respectively. Average follow up time was 5.9 months.

Discussion
Gastric outlet obstruction is a significant complication following VBG, with outlet stenosis rates ranging between 10-20% of VBG patients [3,7,8]. While weights re-gain is the most common indication for revisional surgery, studies report over 30% of patients being revised from VBG in the literature were as a consequence of symptomatic outlet stenosis [3,12]. Almost half of our patients who had endoscopic evidence of gastric outlet obstruction were symptomatic. Vasas et al. found that approximately one-half of their revisional patients previously complained of intractable vomiting, one-third had reflux and one-quarter reported solid food dysphagia. Gastric outlet obstruction also results in maladaptive high caloric eating behaviors and often requires surgical correction [3,15].

For gastric stenosis post-VBG, non-surgical modalities including endoscopic dilatation are often unsuccessful [3]. Poor response to initial endoscopic dilatation is an important prognostic indicator for surgical management of outlet stenosis [3]. Surgical revision management options including conversion to the gastric bypass, VBG reversal and stoma revision have been described in the literature.

Table 1: Basic demographics of gastric outlet obstruction patients revised to sleeve gastrectomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Pre-operative BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Time elapse from original VBG (year)</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.2 ± 10.8</td>
<td>36 ± 8</td>
<td>10 ± 7</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Perioperative results of gastric outlet obstruction patients revised to sleeve gastrectomy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heartburn/reflux (%) 6
Intolerance to Solids (%) 6
BMI (kg/m²) 29.8 ± 3.3
Intolerance to Solids (%) 6
Heartburn/reflux (%) 6
Intolerance to Solids (%) 6
BMI (kg/m²) 27.4 ± 3.2
Intolerance to Solids (%) 6
Surgeons have shown consistent hesitancy to revise the VBG to the LSG, as the belief was that a previously failed restrictive procedure is a relative contra-indication to a repeated restrictive procedure [18]. In addition, there exists a theoretical risk of increased leak rates from creating high intraluminal gastric pressures near a potential area of weakness at the site of the mesh or ring [3]. On the other hand, LSG is a relatively simpler procedure compared to the gastric bypass, and has the added advantages of being potentially a first step in a staged procedure for higher risk patients, avoiding the creation of bowel anastomoses, does not disrupt gastrointestinal continuity, and does not result in the nutritional deficiencies which plague the malabsorptive procedures [2,13]. Growing evidence also supports the notion that LSG is not merely a restrictive procedure, but through gut hormone modulation has an added weight independent effect mainly through the reduction of the hormone ghrelin levels through resection of the gastric fundus [13,15,18,19].

Revisial bariatric procedures, in general, are associated with higher complications rate compared to the primary bariatric surgery [2,20]. Redo operations, in general, involve surgery in a scarred field with distorted anatomical planes [21]. In the case of conversion a band to LSG, dense fibrous tissue, adhesion formation from the original surgery, stapling through inflamed tissue at the band site, and potentially a compromised vascular supply of the new staple line have all been linked as factors attributable to this higher complication rate [2,18].

Our results point to the efficacy and safety of conversion from VBG to LSG. This is one of the larger case series present in the literature of conversion to LSG from failed VBG [2,12,13]. The limited previous studies available in the literature support our findings. Berende et al. (n=23), Follette et al. (n=5), Iannelli et al. (n=5) and Jacobs (n=3) all converted VBG failure patients to LSG. These authors reported no perioperative mortalities, with staple line leak rates in VBG patients ranging from 8.6% to 40% [2,12,13,22]. The authors felt that post-operative staple line leaks were the biggest challenge for these revisional VBG patients. Compared to the gold standard, RYGB, it appears that leak rates are higher in the LSG group [18]. In a systematic review comparing laparoscopic gastric banding revised to laparoscopic RYGB or LSG, staple line leak rates were 0.9% and 5.6% respectively, with the authors concluding that potentially after band removal the tissue of the stomach needs time to recover prior to being manipulated and stapled [14]. Almost all patients in our series achieved significant symptom relief from their gastric outlet obstruction with significant weight loss, with an acceptable staple link leak rate (11.1%).

The purpose of our study was to show that the LSG is a safe option for revisional surgery for patients presenting with gastric outlet obstruction following a VBG. In our case series, there were no mortalities or major complications lending credence to the efficacy of LSG as a revisional option for failure of VBG as a result of gastric outlet obstruction.

This study is mainly limited by its small sample size. However, with almost universal improvement in symptoms following revision, lack of statistic power isn’t as much of a concern. This study can be generalized to VBG patients specifically who suffer from outlet stenosis and symptoms of gastric outlet obstruction.

Conclusion

Vertical Banded Gastropasty patients with symptomatic gastric outlet obstruction from outlet stenosis can be successfully and safely managed with conversion to Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy.
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