Hypoplasia of Infrarenal Inferior Vena Cava: An Infrequent Cause of Repetitive Deep Vein Thrombosis and its Effects
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**Abstract**

Congenital anomalies such as the defects of inferior vena cava (IVC) are not common, however these alterations obtained from a poor embryonic development benefit venous stasis which, in consequence, will trigger a deep vein thrombosis (DVT). This diagnosis must be considered for young patients who have no evident risks of spontaneous and bilateral DVT.

We introduce a clinical case of a 39 year-old male with iliofemoral DVT who presented recurring DVT after the withdrawal of anticoagulation, with no other predisposing factor.

**Introduction**

Venous thromboembolic disease (VTD) is an important medical situation that primarily consists of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). It is the third leading cause of death in the Western world, just behind acute coronary syndrome and cerebrovascular accident. Furthermore, this pathology is associated to a significant morbidity, especially due to post-thrombotic syndrome caused by DVT (20-50% after DVT) [1] and pulmonary hypertension after PE (1% at 6 months and 3.8% in 2 years) [2]. The incidence of DVT in the general population is 160 cases per 100,000 and for PE is 60 cases per 100,000, which would result in an overall incidence of VTE in 220 cases per 100,000, with a estimated prevalent average of 3% [3,4]. The incidence of DVT is ten times less frequent in 20 to 40 years old adults [5].

Hypoplasia of inferior vena cava (IVC) constitutes a congenital malformation of the venous system that affects its suprarenal portion. This situation has a very low incidence (0,0005-1%) in the general population and somewhat higher in young patients with deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and no other predisposing factor (5%) [6]. It’s been estimated a risk increase (2%) in patients with other congenital cardiovascular defects. In most cases, it is usually a casual finding in the image testing (69%), with DVT being the predominant set of symptoms or chronic venous insufficiency (31%) [7,8]. In recent years, due to the radiological progress within computerized tomography and magnetic resonance, the anomalies of the vena cava have emerged as a new ethological factor that must be considered [9]. The basis of the treatment consists in long-term or indefinite anticoagulation; other authors suggest invasive procedures through venous endovascular interventional strategies when the location of the anomaly has been clearly identified [10].

We introduce a clinical case of young male with DVT and hypoplasia of infrarenal inferior vena cava.

**Clinical Case**

30 year-old male with no predisposing risk factors of venous thromboembolic disease; he has been diagnosed through a doppler ultrasound of a right iliofemoral-popliteal DVT. Consequently, thrombophilia and anti-cardiolipin antibodies analysis were carried out with a negative result. In the same way, a computed tomography and a phlebography identified a hypoplasia of inferior vena cava. After an early suspension of anticoagulation (withdrawn after a month by the patient himself), he presented, after eight years, another DVT in his iliofemoral vein and his left lumbar vein, which were diagnosed through an ultrasound scan and a computerized tomography, showing hypertrophy of the aygos and hemiazygos systems (Figures 1-3). After a physical examination, it was noted a secondary varicose veins in the abdomen constantly increasing when performing valsalva maneuvers (Figure 4). As a treatment, it was decided to perform indefinite anticoagulation with 4-hydroxycoumarins. After 5 years of evolvement, there is no post-thrombotic syndrome in the lower limbs although the abdominal varicose veins and collateral circulation persited.

**Discussion**

IVC consists of 3 segments of different embryological origin: prerenal, renal and postrenal, resulting from the fusion and partial re-absorption of 3 pairs of vessels, which are dependent of the posterior cardinal veins in the embryo. All undertaken studies have shown that the most common anomalies found have been hypoplasia of the prerenal and renal segment, followed by the ones of the post renal segment and the presence of inferior double vena cava [6]. From
Congenital malformations of the IVC are present in 0.3-0.5% of healthy individuals and in 0.6-2% in patients with other cardiovascular anomalies. The most frequent ones are the presence of a left IVC and the duplication of IVC present in 0.2-3% of individuals. The agenesis of the IVC has an incidence of 0.0005-1% in the general population and some recent studies seem to confirm its role as an important factor of predisposition for the development of DVT in young people, with a prevalence of 5% [11].

Some authors conclude that DVT presented in these areas are caused by interaction between alterations in the coagulation and risk factors obtained due to malformations, surgery, immobilization and pregnancy [6,9]. One of the differential diagnosis to be initially discarded is cancer [12,13], which is not the case shown in this discussion, however there are malignant and benign neoplasm that can affect this IVC, consequently triggering DVT.

In young patients with no DVT risk factors, malformations of IVC should be suspected and therefore further analysis must be carried out using image testing. The therapy for lower extremity DVT caused by anomaly of the IVC is typically treated with anticoagulation. There is no data found in publications in reference to the duration of this anticoagulation. Some authors advocate for an indefinite anticoagulation due to the existence of a permanent risk factor of venous stasis caused by malformation [8,11]. Some publications propose an invasive endovascular therapy if the location of the anomaly has been clearly identified [8], although the tendency is to maintain anticoagulation indefinitely but further tests are necessary before commencing this treatment.

Conclusions

After reviewing publications and due to the unusual findings in our patient, for young patients with idiopathic DVT affecting the iliac vein without a clear predisposing factor, it seems reasonable to dismiss IVC malformations with image testing as it could limit the duration of the anticoagulation or contemplate an indefinite treatment, intending to evade the recurrence of a thrombotic event and the morbimortality that it implies.
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