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Abstract

Growing number of older adults is one of the most significant sociodemographic changes in the United States in the next few decades. Advancing age is associated with increased incidence of cancer and other age-related health conditions. It is common that older cancer patients have concurrent conditions and comorbidities that may affect treatment decisions, prognosis and overall survival. Assessment and care for older cancer patient is more complex than caring for younger patient. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) is a useful method for assessing older patients with cancer. CGA is a multidisciplinary evaluation designed to assess and manage elderly patient’s medical, psychosocial, and functional capabilities. In this paper we will review domains of CGA and their impact on older cancer patient’s outcome.

Abbreviations

CGA: Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment; ECOG-PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; KPS: Karnofsky Performance Status; ADL: Activities of Daily Living; iADL: instrumental Activities of Daily Living; OARS: Older Americans Resources and Services; VES-13: Vulnerable Elders Survey-13; MNA: Mini Nutritional Assessment; CRT: Chemo Radiation Therapy; MMSE: Mini Mental Status Examination; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; CDT: Clock-Drawing Test; CRCI: Chemotherapy-Related Cognitive Impairment; MOS-SSS: Medical Outcome Study-Social Support Survey; MSPSS: Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; PIM: Potentially Inappropriate Medications; GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

Introduction

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States. It is estimated that 1,665,540 new cases of invasive cancer were diagnosed in 2014 and about 585,720 deaths occurred [1]. Moreover, as of January 2012, there were approximately 13.7 million cancer survivors in the United States with prevalence projected to approach 18 million by 2022 [2]. Currently, more than 60% of newly diagnosed cancers and 70% of cancer related deaths occurring in patients over 65 years [3]. In 2015, over 47 million Americans are older than 65 years of age which is expected to increase to 65 million by 2025 [4]. As a result, the incidence of cancer in aging population is expected to increase significantly.

Older patients have unique characteristics that separate them from younger patients. With increasing age physiologic reserve decreases in the human body (Figure 1), however the rate of this decline varies between individuals [5]. At the same time, changes in functional capacity, cognition and comorbidities that accompany advanced age may influence different aspects of elderly patient’s life such as life expectancy, or risk of hospitalizations [6,7]. As definition by the American Medical Association, frail patients are the group of patients that present the most complex and challenging problems to the physician and all health care professionals, and these patients have tendency to develop adverse outcomes [8]. The overall age related changes can largely impact the tolerance to cancer treatment and shift risk-benefit ratios of such treatments in this growing population [9].

In this manuscript, we will discuss the unique characteristics of older patients, methods of assessing them, and their relationship to older cancer patients’ outcome.

Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment

Older patients, with or without cancer, have certain characteristics that may not be detected during routine medical history and physical exam [10]. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) includes a multidisciplinary evaluation of an older adult geared towards assessing complex problems of older persons which frequently go unrecognized. Moreover, the need for services is assessed and a coordinated plan for the interventions focusing on the elderly problems is developed. CGA evaluates multiple domains including functional status, comorbidities, cognition, nutritional status, social support, psychological state and medication list (Table 1) [11].

Performance status

While in general, performance status of cancer patients are measured by either Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG-PS) [12] or Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) [13], older cancer patients require more comprehensive assessment of their functional status.

Activities of Daily Living (ADL) and instrumental Activities of Daily Living (iADL): The assessment of functional status of older cancer patients should include assessment of their daily activities [14] and instrumental activities of daily living [15]. Validated questionnaires are available for proper assessment of these activities. Katz Activity of Daily Living assesses patients' independency in bathing, dressing, toileting, transferring, continence, and feeding. For each completely independent activity, patients receive a score of 1, and for somewhat or completely dependent activities, patients receive a score of zero. Instrumental activities of daily
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living are usually measured by Lawton questionnaire [16]. For each instrumental activity of daily living (use of telephone, shopping, meal preparation, housekeeping, laundry, mode of transportation, taking medication and ability to handle finances), patients are asked to rate their level of independency (or dependency). The score ranges from 8 (completely independent in all activities) to zero (completely dependent in all activities). A shorter, more practical method of assessing instrumental activities of daily living could be performed by administering the section of instrumental activities of daily living of Older Americans Resources and Services Program (OARS) [17]. Other questionnaires that can be used in this setting include The Vulnerable Elders Survey-13 (VES-13) [18] which takes into account age (75-84 vs. >=85), general overall health status, having difficulty with certain physical activities that includes some of the activities of daily living (e.g. bathing), and instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. shopping).

These measurements of older cancer patients’ performance status have shown to be associated with the outcome of patients. In the hallmark study by Dr. Hurria and colleagues, 500 patients age 65 or older with solid tumors underwent a comprehensive geriatric assessment prior to the initiation of their chemotherapy [19]. They were followed prospectively for the development of chemotherapy toxicity (categorized as grade 3 or more toxicity). In the bivariate analysis, hearing impairment, having one or more falls in the 6 months prior to the start of chemotherapy, being limited in walking one block, and needing assistance with medications were associated with chemotherapy toxicity. In another study, conducted by Dr. Extermann and colleagues, 562 patients older than age 70 were recruited at the start of chemotherapy [20]. The variable of interest was development of hematological toxicity grade 4 or grade 3 or 4 non-hematological toxicities. Similar to Dr. Hurria’s study, all patients had comprehensive geriatric assessment before starting chemotherapy. In the final model, iADL dependency remained strongly predictive of toxicity even after adjustment for ECOG-PS. The independency of other methods of measuring performance status (e.g. ADL or iADL) from ECOG-PS was of no surprise. Previously, an Italian study [21] performed comprehensive geriatric assessment in 363 older cancer patients (median age of 72 years) with solid and liquid tumors. Of the patients with good performance status, 9.3% and 37.7% had ADL or iADL limitations, respectively.

Importance of assessing functional status of older cancer patients by methods described above has also been shown in studies of cancer patients undergoing surgery [22]. In one study [23], 182 colorectal cancer patients with median age of 80 had comprehensive geriatric assessment preoperatively. Those with iADL dependency were approximately 4 times more likely to develop any surgical
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**Table 1: Components of comprehensive geriatric assessment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activities of Daily Living (ADL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (iADL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypharmacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Distress, Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
complication.

Comprehensive assessment of patients’ functional status can be useful in decision making. In a study on 328 breast cancer patients older than age 70, ADL and iADL dependency were associated with greater likelihood of mortality within 3 years of diagnosis [24]. Another study performed geriatric assessment on 460 older cancer patients aged ≥70 years undergoing surgery. iADL dependency was associated with a 50% increase in post-operative complications. Again, in the multivariate analysis, after adjusting for performance status, iADL was still associated with post-surgical complications [25].

Assessment of prior history of falls: is an important part of the functional status assessment of older patients with cancer. Falling is common among older patients regardless of their cancer status. Most of the falls are related with one or more identifiable risk factors (e.g. unsteady gait, weakness) leading to considerable morbidity and mortality among elderly people [26,27]. In a study [28] on 421 cancer patients (median age of 60 years) with chemotherapy induced peripheral neuropathy, 11.9% and 26.6% reported a recent fall and functional impairment, respectively. The rate of fall-related injuries among those receiving two neurotoxic chemotherapy agents was higher compared to those receiving one or no neurotoxic agents (9.15 vs. 7.76 vs. 5.19 per 1000 person-months, respectively) [29]. Those with a history of falls in the 6 months prior to the start of chemotherapy were more likely to develop chemotherapy toxicity [30]. Many older patients use assisted devices such as a cane or walker for ambulation, and therefore special attention should be paid to the use of such devices, if a neurotoxic chemotherapy agent is to be administered. Moreover, maintaining balance requires coordination between multiple senses. Older patients may have vision or hearing impairment, and therefore it is vital to assess for such impairment and refer to specialists for proper eyewear or hearing aids.

Nutrition status

Worldwide, the prevalence of malnutrition in cancer survivors ranges from 24 to 80%. Malnutrition adversely affects the clinical outcomes in cancer patients including length of hospital stay, chemotherapy tolerance, quality of life and overall survival [31]. Weight loss alone or in combination with other laboratory parameters (e.g. Albumin) is considered the main indicator of poor nutritional status [32]. Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) is the tool for evaluating the risk of malnutrition in elderly people. This assessment categorizes the patients as normal (adequate nutrition), borderline (at risk of malnutrition), or under nutrition, based on anthropometric measurements, global assessment, dietary questionnaire, and a subjective assessment [33]. In a prospective study on 207 patients with median age of 52.31 years undergoing radiotherapy for different cancer types, malnutrition was present at 31% of them at start of treatment, which increased to 43% at the end of radiotherapy and decreased to 8% after 6 months follow up with nutritional support [34]. In a prospective study of head and neck cancer patients who underwent surgery, patients who received higher amount of nutritional support had decreased length of hospital stay and fistula wound complications compared to the other group [35]. In another study on 182 colorectal cancer patients with a median age of 80, impaired nutrition was a predictor of early mortality (HR 2.39, 95% CI 1.24 to 4.61) [23]. Malnutrition is frequently seen in patients with esophageal cancer due to anorexia and dysphagia before starting treatment [36]. A French study on 105 esophageal cancer patients with median age of 63.6 who underwent definitive chemoradiation therapy (CRT) treatment (external radiotherapy delivered concomitantly with cisplatin-based chemotherapy) showed that non-responding and responding patients to CRT were significantly different on baseline nutritional parameters including weight loss (10% vs 5.8%, (P = .0047)), serum albumin level (35 g/L vs 38.7 g/L, (P = .0004)) and Body Mass Index (22.8 kg/m2 vs 25.2 kg/m2, P = 0.01) [37]. Considering this data, nutritional interventions may play an important role in esophageal cancer treatment.

Cognition status

Cognitive impairment is highly prevalent in cancer patients and can lead to significant impairment in their ability to function and to tolerate the treatment [38]. A cognitive assessment is an essential part of CGA. Folstein Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE) has been extensively validated and easy to use [39]. It is an 11-question test that evaluates different areas of cognitive function including orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall, and language [40]. MMSE results are categorized as normal (27-30), intermediate (24-26), or indicative of cognitive dysfunction (< 24). In order to screen patients for mild cognitive impairment, but with a normal MMSE score, investigators have used the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) [41]. The MoCA assesses various cognitive domains including memory, visuospatial abilities, executive functions, attention and concentration, orientation, conceptual thinking, calculation and language. Administration time is approximately 10 minutes with a possible maximum score of 30 points (Normal: ≥26 points) [41]. The Mini-Cog test is another method of cognitive assessment composed of a 3-item recall test with 1 point for each recalled word and a Clock-Drawing Test (CDT) with 0 point for an abnormal CDT and 2 points for a normal one. The lower the score on the Mini-Cog, the greater the severity of the cognitive impairment [42]. Cognitive impairment is associated with increased use of health services and a higher mortality rate. In a prospective study of 3954 patients older than 60 years (mean age of 68 years), subjects with moderate to severe cognitive impairment were more likely than those with no impairment to be hospitalized (29.1% and 16.5%), to visit the emergency department (35.8% and 38.5%) and to have greater mortality (8.2% and 2.8%) [43]. Pre-operative cognition impairment is a well-known risk factor for post-operative delirium. In the study of 182 colorectal cancer patients (median age of 80), subjects with MMSE scores < 24 had a significantly higher rate of delirium [23]. In another study on 144 elderly patients undergoing major surgery, 44% of them developed postoperative delirium. In the multivariable analysis, pre-existing dementia was the strongest risk factor for the development of post-surgical delirium [38]. Complaints of impaired cognition following chemotherapy are fairly common among cancer survivors (chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment (CRCI) [44]. A study of breast cancer patients showed that in patients treated with high-dose chemotherapy the risk of cognitive impairment was 3.5-times higher compared to patients who received standard-dose chemotherapy (95% CI = 1.0-12.8) [45]. It has been shown that cognitive decline can take place in about half of prostate cancer.
patients on androgen deprivation therapy [46,47].

Social support

Older patients rely on their family and friends in the course of cancer treatment for care and support [48]. As patients get older, their social support and network of friends and families diminishes. Studies have shown that patients with more social support and a larger social network tend to be healthier, happier, and less at risk of developing adverse outcomes [49-51]. Social support can be measured by a variety of methods. At minimum, the healthcare provider should ascertain the patient’s living condition and marital status, as those who live alone or are widowed, divorced, or never married could be at higher risk for adverse healthcare outcomes [52].

Additionally, healthcare providers should identify the primary caregiver among all potential caregivers (spouse, children, first or second degree relatives), and assess his/her capability of taking care of their older patient with cancer. Caregivers provide significant care for older cancer patients with almost no cost to the healthcare system. Empowering caregivers through face to face or group education on how to approach and manage older cancer patients’ symptoms may lead to healthcare cost saving by avoiding hospitalization or emergency room visits. Validated questionnaires are available to further assess social support of cancer patients. Two of the most commonly used social support questionnaires are the Medical Outcome Study Social Support Survey (MOS-SSS) and the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) [53]. MOS-SSS is a 19-item questionnaire that assesses patients’ social support in four domains: emotional/informational support, tangible support, affectionate support, positive social interaction and one additional item asking patients how frequently they have someone to do things with to help relieve their anxiety. Patients are asked to state the availability of social support for each domain on a 5-point Likert scale. Another study showed that MOS-SSS 12-item and 4-item are also psychometrically sound and can be used for both research and clinical practices. MSPSS is a 12-item questionnaire that asks patients to answer their level of agreement with each statement on a 7-point Likert scale [54]. MSPSS then categorizes the responses from the patients into perceived support from significant other, family and friends.

Poly-pharmacy

As patients age, they develop more comorbid conditions that need to be managed by multiple medications. Although there is no consensus on the definition of polypharmacy [55], many scholars have referred to polypharmacy as greater than 5 medications [56], or excessive use of medications. Some authors, defined polypharmacy as use of 6-9 medications, and 10 or more medications was considered as excessive polypharmacy [57]. Others have focused on prescribed potentially inappropriate medications (PIM) [58] rather than the number of prescribed medications. For many years, the American Geriatrics Society has published the Beers list which includes potentially inappropriate medications for older patients. Polypharmacy, defined by any method, has potential adverse consequences on patients’ overall outcome. Patients with polypharmacy are at higher risk for developing drug-drug interaction, noncompliance with the medication, increased costs, and unnecessary duplication of therapy [59]. While polypharmacy is a common problem among older patients with cancer [60,61], few studies conducted in older cancer patients have failed to show the impact of polypharmacy on this population. In one study [62], 500 older patients (mean age of 73 years) with solid tumors undergoing chemotherapy were followed prospectively. Although the rate of PIM and excessive medication use was high, neither of these factors was associated with chemotherapy toxicity, or hospitalization. Regardless, it is critical for cancer care provider to assess older cancer patients’ list of medication, and pay special attention to drug-drug interaction in order to avoid increase in drug toxicity or decrease in chemotherapy efficacy.

Comorbid conditions

As patients [63] age, they may develop more comorbid conditions. Patients with more comorbid conditions tend to visit specialist more often compared to those with fewer comorbidities [64]. The cost of managing patients with multiple comorbid conditions is more than those with less comorbidities [65]. Older cancer survivors with multiple comorbid conditions are at higher risk for adverse outcomes. In a study of 455 older (age > 60) rectal cancer patients, authors found out that those with more comorbid conditions were at higher risk for poorer overall survival (HR=1.7, P=0.03) [66]. The number of comorbid conditions may also affect cancer treatment decision making. In a study [67] of elderly candidates for radical cystectomy following a bladder cancer diagnosis, higher number of comorbid conditions was associated with a lower likelihood of lymph node dissection, and a lower number of examined lymph nodes. These patients were also less likely to receive postoperative chemotherapy. Higher number of comorbid conditions also can lead to a delay in starting proper treatment. Among 24833 breast cancer patients who underwent lumpectomy, 56% received radiation therapy. Having more comorbid conditions was associated with longer delay in the initiation of radiation therapy [68]. Hypertension, cardiac disease, and arthritis are the three most common comorbidities among older cancer patients [69]. Proper management of these comorbidities is of critical importance because it may lead to improved patient’s outcome for example in a study of 233 diabetic prostate cancer patients, treatment of diabetes with thiazolidinediones and metformin was associated with improved overall survival of the patients [70].

At a minimum, cancer care providers should ascertain older cancer patients’ past medical history. Whether each disease causes patients to have active symptoms should also be documented. Name and contact information of primary care provider as well as other specialists involved in patient’s care should be asked from the patient and caregiver. Proper communication between cancer care provider and other healthcare providers should happen as need arises since inefficient communication between health care team members may lead to patient harm and adverse events [71].

Emotional status

Depression is a psychiatric syndrome that commonly co-occurs with cancer [72]. Significant data demonstrate the high prevalence of depressive symptoms among the patients with a wide variety of cancer types [73]. Depression prevalence increases with disease severity and symptoms such as pain and fatigue [72]. Although distress and anxiety may decrease with age, a study of 736 prostate cancer patients
with median age of 68 showed that depressive symptoms significantly increased with age [74]. Geriatric depression scale (GDS), a self administered 30-item questionnaire is specifically designed for rating the depression among elderly [75]. Patients receive a score of 1 for each depressive/abnormal answer to the questions. A total score of 0-10 is considered normal, while a score of >10 is a positive screen for depression [76]. A brief and commonly used tool to evaluate psychological distress in cancer survivors is the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [77]. This instrument consists of anxiety and depression subscales with total number of 14 questions. Every item is rated on a 4-point scale, giving a maximum score of 21 for each subscale. Scores of ≥11 on either subscale are considered to be a significant for psychological morbidity, while scores of 8-10 and 0-7 represent borderline and normal, respectively [78]. PHQ-9 depression scale is a 9-item self-administered questionnaire which is widely used in clinical and research settings due to its brevity and validity in the diagnosis of depression [79]. For severity assessment, the PHQ-9 score can range from 0 to 27 since each one of the items can be scored from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The score divided into 5 categories of increasing severity: 0-4 (none), 5-9 (mild), 10-14 (moderate), 15-19 (moderately severe), and 20-27 (severe) [80]. Depression in the elderly has been associated with increased need of informal care giving resource requirements [81,82]. In a study by Kornblith et al. using monthly telephone calls to evaluate and decrease depression in older cancer survivors, patients who received the monthly telephone call describing cancer-related psychosocial issues and available resources had significantly less depression (P = .0004), anxiety (P < .0001), and overall distress (P < .0001) compared to the control group [50,83]. Moreover, depression can be associated with outcomes in older cancer survivors. An epidemiologic study on 24,696 older breast cancer patients (67-90 years old) showed that patients with a recent diagnosis of depression were at risk for receiving less-than-optimal treatment for their cancer, and they also had worse survival [84]. In a study of older women (median age of 76) with advanced ovarian cancer undergoing platinum based chemotherapy, depression was the strongest prognostic factor correlated with severe toxicity and poor overall survival [85].

Conclusion

The existence of age-related health problems in older cancer patients is bound to have an impact on the course of their cancer treatment, prognosis and survival. Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment is an evidence-based approach to evaluate different aspects of elderly patients with cancer. A more in depth evaluation through the CGA may help in the detection of the elderly patients’ issues and facilitate the implementation of appropriate interventions to help them better benefit from different cancer treatment modalities.
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