The DSM-5 Alcohol Use Disorder criteria (AUD) have been modified to reflect a single diagnosis, which may alter how AUDs are detected within our screening measures. The present study sought to evaluate the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting DSM-5 AUDs among college students. The RAPI performed slightly better in the detection of DSM-5 AUDs (AUROC = 0.828; SE = 0.020) in comparison to any DSM-IV AUD (0.791; SE = 0.022; 95% CI, 0.748 - 0.835). The performance of the RAPI was lower when detecting frequency (AUROC = 0.719; SE = 0.025) or quantity of alcohol use (AUROC = 0.758; SE = 0.024) in comparison to detecting DSM-5 AUDs. Gender differences emerged in the identification of optimal RAPI cut-off scores. Findings indicate that an overall RAPI score of 4 be used for female college students and an overall score of 6 be used for male college students in the detection of DSM-5 AUDs.

Introduction

Risky drinking practices among college students constitute as a significant public health concern. Prior research has indicated that rates of heavy and binge drinking among college students is higher compared to any other age group [1,2]. Of particular concern, during this critical developmental period, is that this level of alcohol involvement is associated with engagement in numerous alcohol-related consequences that are unique to this important life transition (e.g. academic problems) [3-5]. An additional concern is that the prevalence of Alcohol Use Disorders (AUDs) also peaks during this time [6]. The onset of AUDs and continued heavy drinking during this critical period is associated with a more severe course of AUD in later adulthood, and if left undetected or untreated can lead to experiencing a greater number of and more consequential alcohol-related problems (e.g. health problems). This underscores the need to ensure that we can accurately screen for and identify the types of consequences endorsed among college students in order to intervene more effectively with them within our screening and brief intervention efforts. Moreover, a detailed assessment of the negative consequences arising from heavy alcohol use in college students may allow for a more accurate distinction between problem and non-problem drinkers provide greater information about the risk for developing an AUD as well as identify specific consequences that are unique to those diagnosed with an AUD.

One of the most commonly used self-report measures of alcohol-related problems among college students is the Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index (RAPI) [5,7-10]. The RAPI is a 23-item screening measure that was originally validated for use with adolescents, and has been used extensively across various samples of adolescents since its inception [7]. During the past 15 years, however, several psychometric studies have validated the RAPI across diverse samples of college students documenting its reliability and validity among this at-risk population of drinkers [5,7-12]. Prior psychometric evaluation of the RAPI has documented its internal consistency reliability, factor structure, concurrent validity, predictive validity, convergent validity and test-retest reliability [5,8,12-14]. The RAPI has been used extensively in research involving college students to examine etiological processes of college drinking, develop cut-off scores to distinguish between problem and non-problem drinkers, assess the developmental trajectories of high-risk drinking, evaluate outcomes associated with intervention or treatment response, and in studies addressing the predictors of alcohol-related problems [11,15-18]. Collectively, prior research provides extensive empirical support for the RAPI as a brief, standardized screening measure for detecting alcohol-related problems in college students.

Despite its widespread use in college students, little attention has focused on examining the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting AUDs. In one of the only evaluations of the diagnostic utility of the RAPI, among a sample of Finnish twins (n=597 pairs), RAPI scores at the age of 18 were found to be significant predictors of DSM-
III alcohol dependence diagnoses in early adulthood (i.e. 25 years old) [8]. Receiving operating characteristic curves found a 74% probability that RAPI scores at 18 would be significantly higher among those with alcohol dependence than for those without a diagnosis at age 25. This study provides preliminary evidence of the RAPI in detecting AUDs; however, there are several gaps that warrant attention. Specifically, this study was conducted on a non-college sample, therefore little is still known about the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting AUDs among college students. More importantly, there are currently no recommended cut-off scores on the RAPI that uniquely identify college students who are at-risk for developing an AUD. Given the robust associations between drinking problems and AUD diagnoses as well as the high rates of AUD among college students, it is critical that we ensure that our alcohol problem screening measures can accurately discriminate between those with and without an AUD [3-6]. An evaluation of the diagnostic precision of the RAPI in detecting AUDs among college students has the potential to enhance our collegiate screening and brief intervention efforts by ensuring it is a reliable and valid screening tool for identifying AUDs.

The primary taxonomic system used for diagnosing an AUD has been the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR; APA 2000) [19]. Under this former system, it makes a hierarchical distinction between alcohol abuse and dependence (i.e. alcohol dependence criteria are more severe) with criteria sets to detect presence or absence of each disorder. Despite widespread utility, several limitations have been associated with this binary classification approach [20-22]. As a result, the DSM-5 Substance Use Task Force has made the following changes to the AUD criteria in the DSM-5, which was released in 2013 [23]:

(a) Combine the abuse and dependence criteria into a single diagnosis (> 2 criteria=an AUD);
(b) Create a severity qualifier that reflect a “minimal” (2 to 3 criteria endorsed), “moderate” (4 to 5 criteria endorsed) and “severe” (> 6 criteria endorsement) AUD;
(c) Add a craving criterion and remove the legal problems criterion; and
(d) Rename the disorder to “Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD)”.

At present, research on the RAPI to screen for DSM-5 AUDs in college students has received no attention. Thus, it is critical that college drinking researchers and treatment providers’ move towards establishing cut-off thresholds on the RAPI and other alcohol screening measures based on the new DSM-5 AUD guidelines to ensure that our existing alcohol screening procedures are able to accurately identify and properly refer college students at-risk for an AUD.

In sum, the DSM-5 AUD criteria have been modified to reflect a single, continuous disorder. It is vital that we ensure that our existing alcohol screening tools maintain their accuracy in identifying AUDs. The RAPI is a widely used alcohol problem screening instrument that has been used extensively in diverse at-risk samples of college students. No studies have sought to answer important questions about the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting DSM-5 AUDs. To address these critical questions, the aims of the current study were as follows:

a) To identify cut-off thresholds on the RAPI that maximizes sensitivity and specificity across college students with and without a DSM-5 AUD;
b) To determine whether RAPI cut-off scores vary by male and female college students; and
c) To evaluate the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in identifying college students with a DSM-5 AUD in comparison with other drinker classification groups including those with any DSM-IV AUD, low/high frequent drinkers and low/high quantity drinkers.

A detailed evaluation of the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in college students will provide recommendations for how to detect DSM-5 AUDs within our current alcohol screening practices.

Methods

Participants

The sample was collected at a large public university in the Northeastern part of the United States. Participants were recruited via:

1) Newspaper advertisements and paid $15.00 for their participation; and
2) Introductory Psychology courses were given course credit for their participation.

Prior to coming into the lab, each was screened via phone and was eligible to participate if they met the following requirements:

a) Undergraduate status;
(b) Between the ages of 18 to 30; and
(c) Engaged > 1 one binge drinking episode in the prior 90 days (> 5 for men and > 4 for women).

Upon entering the session, all participants provided informed consent and completed a 1 h anonymous battery of questionnaires. All procedures were approved by the university’s Institutional Review Board (IRB).

A total of 396 participants met eligibility requirements for the current study. Participants were between the ages of 18 to 30 (M=19.21; SD=1.29). The sample was diverse with respect to race and ethnicity with 59.8% (n=237) Caucasian, 6.6% (n=26) Hispanic, 8.3% (n=33) African-American, 19.9% (n=79) Asian, and 4% (n=16) were classified as other. With respect to class rank, 59.3% (n=235) were freshman, 20.2% (n=80) sophomores, 14.1% (n=56) juniors, and 5.6% (n=22) seniors. The majority were male (52%; n=206) and lived on campus (67.4%; n=267).

Measures

Alcohol and drug use: A modified Quantity/Frequency index (QFI) was used to collect participant alcohol use data in the prior 90 day period [24]. Each participant estimated his/her frequency of consuming hard liquor, wine and beer (1=never; 7=everyday), and...
the quantity of alcohol they consumed per drinking occasion in the
following three categories: hard liquor (1=never; 7=16 or more shots
of liquor), wine (1=never; 7=16 or more 5-oz glasses of wine), and
beer (1=never; 7=16 or more 12-oz cans/bottles). Separate frequency
and quantity indices were created by summing the beer, liquor
and wine items together. Principal components analyses indicated
that each index was associated with a uni-dimensional structure
accounting for approximately 51.4% and 47.6% of the common
variance for the alcohol frequency and quantity indices, respectively.
Additional questions asked participants to report on their number
of days consumed alcohol in the prior 90, greatest number of drinks
consumed in a 24 h period, and the average number of standard
drinks consumed on a typical weekday and weekend.

DSM-IV and DSM-5 AUD criteria: A self-administered modified
version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview-
Substance Abuse Module (CIDI-SAM DSM-IV module) was used
to collect DSM-IV and DSM-5 AUD diagnostic information with
an additional question added to assess for the new DSM-5 craving
criterion [25]. The DSM-5 craving question was included by asking
participants the following: "During the past year, as a result of your
alcohol use, did you have a strong desire or craving to drink?" as part
of the data collection procedures, participants were asked to self-
report on the occurrence of each criterion within the past year. The
CIDI-SAM DSM-IV diagnostic module has been used previously in
prior research, which has indicated it to be both reliable and valid in
college students [24,26].

Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index (RAPI): The Rutgers Alcohol
Problem Index (23 items; RAPI) 7 was used to assess for the
frequency of negative consequences from drinking in the prior year.
Typically, RAPI items are scored on a Likert type scale, but for this
study the items were dichotomized (0=did not occur; 1=did occur)
and summed together to create an index of alcohol problem severity
(Cronbach’s alpha=.88). We dichotomized the RAPI items due to
the inherent positive skew associated with the majority of the times
in the sample as well as the fact that researchers often score the RAPI
dichotomously to detect “presence” or “absence” of each consequence,
and because prior research has indicated that a dichotomously scored
RAPI is a reliable and valid measure in college students [9].

Classification of DSM-5 and DSM-IV AUDs

For the classification of the DSM-5 AUD diagnostic system, we
used the guidelines set forth by DSM-5 Substance Use Task Force
[19,23]. Participants who endorsed between 0 to only 1 criteria were
classified as “no DSM-5 AUD”; and those who endorsed > 2 of any
criteria were classified as “DSM-5 AUD+”. For the classification
of DSM-IV AUD criteria, we used the guidelines set forth in the DSM-
IV-TR manual [19]. Participants who did not endorse any criteria or
< 2 dependence criteria and no abuse criteria were classified as “no
DSM-IV AUD”; those who endorsed between 1 to 4 abuse criteria
and less than 3 dependence criteria were classified as “DSM-IV
abuse/AB”; and those who endorsed 3 or more dependence criteria
were classified as “DSM-IV dependent/AD”. For the current study,
participants who were classified as either “DSM-IV abuse/AB” or
“DSM-IV dependent/AD” were categorized as “any DSM-IV AUD”.
and the remaining participants were classified as “No DSM-IV AUD”.

Classification of alcohol quantity and frequency groups

For the current study, an alcohol quantity and frequency
classification grouping variable was constructed using the QFI
drinking data. A mean split for the alcohol quantity and frequency
indices were applied to each of these constructed variables. For each
alcohol classification grouping variable, participants were categorized
into “high” and “low” drinker groups. Approximately, 51.8% (n=205)
and 53.1% (n=210) were classified in the “high” drinker groups based
on the alcohol frequency and quantity indices, respectively.

Data analytic plan

To determine optimal cut-off scores for distinguishing between
those with and without a DSM-5 AUD, we calculated sensitivity,
specificity, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), and Negative Predictive
Value (NPV) across each potential RAPI score in the overall sample
and separately for males and females. Due to lower numbers of
endorsement at the higher RAPI cut-off scores, our analyses focused
on RAPI cut-off scores between 0 to 23. Sensitivity is the true positive rate and reflects the percentage of
all individuals with active DSM-5 AUD symptomatology who score
above a threshold score on the RAPI. Specificity is the true negative
rate and reflects the percentage of individuals who do not meet DSM-
5 AUD diagnostic criteria and score below a threshold score on the
RAPI. The Positive Predictive Value (PPV) is the probability that
participants with a positive screening test at that specific RAPI cut-
off score have a DSM-5 AUD diagnosis. Negative Predictive Value
(NPV) is the probability that participants with a negative screening
(i.e. score below the RAPI cut-off score) tests do not have a DSM-5
AUD diagnosis. Because sensitivity and specificity does not always
provide a clear distinction in the identification of an optimal cut-off
score, Youden index (J) was calculated by the following equation:
J = (Sensitivity + Specificity) - 1. Youden index score ranges from
0 to 1 with a score of 1 indicating that the RAPI cut-off has perfect
diagnostic predictability and a score close to 0 indicating no diagnostic
predictability. The cut-off score with the highest J value is selected as
the most optimal, but the decision is contingent upon finding a good
balance between sensitivity and specificity. The identification of an
optimal cut-off score typically maximizes sensitivity over specificity.

Receiving Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were used
to evaluate the performance of the RAPI in discriminating
across the following reference standards: DSM-5 AUD, any DSM-IV
AUD, high/low frequent drinkers and high/low quantity drinkers.
ROC curves plotted sensitivity (Y-axis) vs. 1 - Specificity (X-axis)
for each reference standard. Curves that peak toward the upper
left hand corner of a ROC graph indicate that the RAPI is a strong
screening test for that specific reference standard. We also calculated
Areas Under Receiving Operating Characteristic Curves (AUROCs),
along with the 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CIs), to determine
which referent standard provides the most optimal combination
of sensitivity and specificity. Typically, the higher the AUROC, the
stronger the performance of the RAPI for distinguishing between
a selected reference standard with scores ranging between 0.70
or higher considered good to excellent. We conducted ROC and
AUROC analyses across the overall sample and separately for males
and females.
Results

Alcohol use characteristics

Participants reported consuming alcohol, on average, 17 days (M=17.29; SD=14.46) out of the prior 90 days. Approximately, 86.7% (n=344) of the sample reported binge drinking in the prior two weeks of study onset. The typical number of drinks consumed per weekday was 2.94 (SD=2.73) and 5.84 (SD=3.93) per day on the weekend. On average, the greatest amount consumed within a 24 h period was 9.67 (SD=5.68) standard drinks.

Classification of DSM-IV and DSM-5 AUD drinker groups

Based on the DSM-5 AUD classification scheme, approximately 50% (n=198) and 50% (n=198) were classified as "no DSM-5 AUD" and "DSM-5 AUD+", respectively. With respect to the classification rates of DSM-IV AUDs in the sample, 16.7% (n=66) and 23.2% (n=92) were classified as alcohol abuse and alcohol dependent.

RAPI characteristics

The overall sample reported, on average, endorsing a total of 6 (M=5.91; SD=4.42) negative consequences from drinking on the RAPI. The most frequently endorsed RAPI items were as follows:

1) “Had a bad time” (52.5; n=208);
2) “Not able to do your homework or study for a test” (48.7%; n=193);
3) “Neglected your responsibilities” (45.2%; n=179);
4) “Felt that you need more alcohol than you used to in order to get the same effect” (41.4%; n=164); and
5) “Had a fight, argument or bad feeling with a friend” (39.9%; n=155).

RAPI diagnostic performance results

Overall sample: The AUROC value for those classified with a DSM-5 AUD was 0.828 (SE=0.020; 95% CI, 0.789-0.867). Among those classified with a DSM-IV AUD, the AUROC value was slightly lower (0.791; SE=0.022; 95% CI, 0.748-0.835). The AUROC values for the alcohol quantity and frequency groups were 0.758 (SE=0.024; 95 CI, 0.711-0.805) and 0.719 (SE=0.025; 95 CI, 0.669-0.769), respectively. The AUROC value for the alcohol quantity group was higher than the value for the alcohol frequency group, but neither was higher than the values for either DSM-5 AUD status or DSM-IV AUD status. All AUROC values were significantly greater than the chance value of 0.50 indicating that the RAPI has reasonably good discrimination across several pertinent alcohol use risk groups. This is confirmed by Figure 1, which displays the ROC for each of our alcohol reference groups. The DSM-5 AUD reference group ROC had the highest peak followed by the DSM-IV AUD reference group, whereas the alcohol quantity and frequency groups were associated with significantly lower ROCs.

To determine the most optimal cut-off score on the RAPI that distinguish between those with and without a DSM-5 AUD, we calculated and evaluated sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and Youden index score across each potential RAPI cut-off score (Table 1 displays these values across each RAPI cut-off score). Using DSM-5 AUD status as the primary reference group, the sensitivity and specificity values across the cut-off scores ranged from 0.116 to 0.971 and 0.182 to 0.995, respectively. A RAPI cut-off score of 5 had the highest Youden’s J index score, which was equal to 0.514 with reasonably high sensitivity and specificity values of 0.803 and 0.711, respectively. In addition, the PPV and NPV values at the RAPI cut-off score of 5 were 0.69 and 0.76.

Males: The AUROC value for DSM-5 AUD status among males was 0.822 (SE=0.028; 95 CIs, 0.767-0.878). With respect to those classified with any DSM-IV AUD, the AUROC value was lower (0.737; SE=0.034; 95% CIs, 0.669-0.804). The AUROC values for the alcohol quantity and frequency classification groups were 0.724 (SE=0.037; 95% CIs, 0.652-0.796) and 0.646 (SE=0.038; 95% CIs, 0.571-0.722). Similar to the AUROC analysis in the overall sample, the AUROC value for the alcohol quantity group was higher than the value for the alcohol frequency group, whereas both values were lower than the those for the DSM-5 AUD and DSM-IV AUD reference groups. All AUROC values in the were significantly greater than the chance value of 0.50 indicating that the RAPI has reasonably good discrimination across several pertinent alcohol use risk groups among male college students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAPI Score</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Youden’s J</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
students. This is confirmed by Figure 2, which displays the ROC for each of our alcohol reference groups. The DSM-5 AUD reference group ROC had the highest peak followed by the DSM-IV AUD reference group, whereas the alcohol quantity and frequency groups were associated with significantly lower ROCs.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and Youdon’s J index scores across each RAPI cut-off score among male college students is displayed in Table 2. Using the DSM-5 AUD status as the primary reference standard, the sensitivity and specificity values across the cut-off scores ranged from 0.157 to 0.978 and 0.182 to 0.995, respectively. A RAPI score of 6 had the highest Youden’s J index score, which was equal to 0.45 with reasonably high sensitivity and specificity values ranging from 0.73 to 0.72. Along these lines, the values for PPV and NPV at a RAPI cut-off score of 6 were 0.75 and 0.69, respectively.

**Females:** The AUROC value for DSM-5 AUD status among females was 0.833 (SE=0.028; 95% CIs, 0.778-0.888). With respect to those classified with any DSM-IV AUD, the AUROC value was slightly higher (0.847; SE=0.027; 95% CIs, 0.794-0.901). The AUROC values for the alcohol quantity and frequency classification groups were 0.803 (SE=0.031; 95% CIs, 0.742-0.863) and 0.792 (SE=0.032; 95% CIs, 0.729-0.855). Similar to the AUROC analysis in the overall sample, the AUROC value for the alcohol quantity group was higher than the value for the alcohol frequency group, whereas both values were lower than those for the DSM-5 AUD and DSM-IV AUD reference groups. In contrast to the male only sample, the AUROC values for the alcohol reference groups were higher indicating slightly better diagnostic performance of the RAPI among females. All AUROC values in the were significantly greater than the chance value of 0.50 indicating that the RAPI has reasonably good discrimination across several pertinent alcohol use risk groups among female college students. This is confirmed by Figure 3, which displays the ROC for each of our alcohol reference groups. The DSM-IV AUD reference group ROC had the highest peak followed by the DSM-5 AUD reference group, whereas the alcohol quantity and frequency groups were associated with significantly lower ROCs.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and Youdon’s J index scores across each RAPI cut-off score among female college students is displayed in Table 2. Using the DSM-5 AUD status as the primary reference standard, the sensitivity and specificity values across the cut-off scores ranged from 0.116 to 0.971 and 0.182 to 0.995, respectively. A RAPI score of 6 had the highest Youden’s J index score, which was equal to 0.45 with reasonably high sensitivity and specificity values ranging from 0.73 to 0.72. Along these lines, the values for PPV and NPV at a RAPI cut-off score of 6 were 0.75 and 0.69, respectively.

**Discussion**

This is the first study to examine the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting DSM-5 AUDs in a sample of college students. The overall performance of the RAPI was high and adequate (AUC=0.828) in classifying college students with DSM-5 AUDs. This...
The current study findings provide several avenues for future exploration in screening for DSM-5 AUDs in college students. First, the stability of derived study findings is not known. Continued research in other at-risk samples of college students is necessary to replicate and ensure that the current findings can be generalized to diverse samples of drinkers in college. Next, the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting the DSM-5 AUD severity groups (mild, moderate, severe) was not examined in this study. Future research should evaluate the performance of the RAPI in screening for the DSM-5 AUD severity groups as well as develop established cut-off scores on the RAPI that may classify college students based on their AUD severity. Lastly, this study did not determine if the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting DSM-5 AUDs among college students varies across select demographic characteristics (e.g. race/ethnicity; college rank). A detailed evaluation of the extent to which the diagnostic performance of the RAPI varies across demographic factors has the potential to assist in tailoring our alcohol screening initiatives for detecting DSM-5 AUDs to specific sub-groups of college students.

There were several limitations associated with the current study. First, the primary outcomes of interest were based on participant self-reports, which can be impacted by recall biases. Several methodological procedures, such as assurances of anonymity and the use of psychometrically sound questionnaires, were incorporated into the study to enhance response accuracy, thereby reducing this concern [35]. Next, the DSM-5 AUD diagnostic criteria were collected via self-report and not through a more rigorous clinical interview, which is considered the “gold standard” for collecting diagnostic information [26]. That said, the items used to assess for DSM-5 AUD criteria were adapted from a prior clinical interview [25]. Moreover, the correlations between the sum of DSM-5 AUD criteria and pertinent alcohol use variables in this sample were positive and in the moderate to high range providing support to the validity of the diagnostic questionnaire administered. Lastly, a convenience sample of college students (i.e. mainly recruited via Introductory Psychology courses) was used in this study potentially impacting the generalizability of the study findings. Concerns about the representativeness may be allayed because drinking rates reported in the current sample are similar to those from other studies of alcohol use among college students [1,2].

In sum, this is one of the first studies to evaluate the diagnostic performance of the RAPI in detecting DSM-5 AUDs in a sample of non-treatment seeking college students. This study provides preliminary support for the RAPI as a valid alcohol problems screening instrument in classifying college students with DSM-5 AUDs. Findings indicate also that the RAPI has a high degree of diagnostic precision in screening for DSM-5 AUDs across males and females in college, and suggests that different gender-specific RAPI cut-off threshold be used to detect DSM-5 AUDs. Given the elevated rates of heavy drinking and alcohol problems in college students, it is vital that we can ensure that our alcohol screening measures maintain their accuracy in detecting DSM-5 AUDs within our collegiate alcohol screening and brief intervention protocols. The detection of DSM-5 AUDs in college students using the RAPI is a necessary first step towards enhancing our alcohol screening efforts under the new diagnostic system.
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